

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Energy Research and Reviews
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JENRR_82252
Title of the Manuscript:	Residues to Energy: A Study on Improving the Renewable Energy Mix in Nigeria
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljenrr.com/index.php/JENRR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Compulsory revision (major)	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	Introduction is lack of review, add on the recent citation article - Topic 2 should be organized properly. Do not simply put the sub-subtopic. Example 'Agricultural Residues' and each type of residues please put the Figure to clear the residues - I think pai chart no need to make 3D view, just show the 2D view of chart to make ease to read. - Short article and lack of information, but the reference up to 73 citations, this is not proper ways of article. - Spelling needs to check	-

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Norazila Othman
Department, University & Country	Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, Malaysia