

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Energy Research and Reviews
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JENRR_81735
Title of the Manuscript:	Readiness of Nuclear Energy Development in Uganda
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljenrr.com/index.php/JENRR/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Abstract.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Please revision the abstract, remove the paragraph. The abstract should contain the brief background, objectives or aims, methods, findings and conclusion or recommendation. <p>Introduction</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 2. Please remove the value of data. Include it at end of the introduction 3. Remove the subsections (preamble, objectives, etc.) in the introduction section and make use of paragraph. Please follow the journal manuscript preparation guidelines. So many subsections in the introduction bore the readers. 4. A lot of citations are missing, please provide as many citations as possible. <p>Literature Review</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 5. Literature section should be removed. This is a review paper; all contents are mainly literature review. Moreover, the table 2 contains all what is presented. I suggest that author should cite the table 2 in the introduction instead. <p>Methods</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 6. Please add the search terms or keywords, the type of documents, databases or search engine used to get the information in the methodology. Please 'methodology' instead of methods <p>Results and discussion</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 7. Many citations are missing, please provide more citations 8. Have author read about the 19 nuclear infrastructure issues to assess Uganda's readiness towards construction and operation of the first nuclear power plant? Elaborate more on the readiness of nuclear infrastructure issues, how many have Uganda addressed so far? 9. Please find out on the atomic energy act 2008, Uganda 10. On the comparison with countries, please I suggest that author should use paragraph per country. <p>Conclusion and policy implication</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 11. Please remove the policy implication and put it under it own section under results and discussion 12. Provide recommendation for future study. 	
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 13. Proofreading of the manuscript is required. The spelling errors and grammatical errors should be corrected. 14. Further reading is required. Expand the result and discussion sections 	
Optional/General comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 15. Please incorporate the above comments to improve the manuscript 	

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ocident Bongomin
Department, University & Country	Moi University, Kenya