

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Energy Research and Reviews</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JENRR_80655
Title of the Manuscript:	DETERMINATION OF YEARLY PERFORMANCE AND DEGRADATION RATE OF ELECTRICAL PARAMETERS OF A MONOCRYSTALLINE PHOTOVOLTAIC MODULES IN MINNA, CENTRAL NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljenrr.com/index.php/JENRR/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>Typo "temperate", "sustenance" in the introduction section</p> <p>Typo "in other to esitmate" in section 2</p> <p>Typo "12 x 20 coulombs"</p> <p>Typo "Table 1: Annual Average f Ambient Parameters..."</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<p>Based on the annual average parameters shown in table 1. The author claim that the degradation are due to the rise of temperature. However, it is also true that the higher the temperature will lead to decrease in PV output power under normal conditional. Temperature itself is rather weak to justify your claim. Authors need to look deep into the 4 years data to identify and determine the actual degradation factors. For example, author can try to look at the collected data in year 4 (2018) when the temperature range are similar to year 1 (2015) but the power is decrease or lower than year 1 (2015). Author is advised to tabulate such data where similar temperature range for all 4 years vs power output to find out the actual degradation parameters other than just temperature. In other words, authors should provide more comprehensive and multidimensional analysis on the 4 years data to proof and validate your claim.</p>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**Review Form 1.6**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b><i>Rodney H.G. Tan</i></b>
Department, University & Country	<b><i>UCSI University, Malaysia</i></b>