

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Economics, Management and Trade</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEMT_87301
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Textile and Garment Sector Financial Distress and Its Prediction: A Systematic Indonesia Literature Review</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Review Article</b>

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljemt.com/index.php/JEMT/editorial-policy> )

**Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p><b>1. INTRODUCTION.</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>✓ Describe why the study is being conducted;</li> <li>✓ Provide the reader with an understanding of how the problem arose and the specific context within which the problem is occurring.</li> </ul> <p><b>2. LITERATURE REVIEW:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>✓ In sections 2.1 – 2.2, which seem to be your theoretical review, you did not identify any theories underpinning your study...what were the predominant theories in the articles?</li> <li>✓ Under section 2.3, describe the phenomena being explored in the study while discussing the prior research that has been done on the phenomena.</li> </ul> <p><b>3. METHODOLOGY:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>✓ You needed to discuss and synthesize the Various Methodologies and Designs that have been used in prior empirical research related to the study. You must use authoritative sources to justify the proposed design;</li> <li>✓ Under 3.3. There was no evidence of qualitative analysis approach such as coding and theming process, which would have completely described and included in the analysis and / or interpretation process.</li> </ul> <p><b>4. CONCLUSION:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>✓ Provide a conclusion (s) to summarize the findings, referring back to chapter 1, and tying the study together.</li> </ul>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>✓ <b>ABSTRACT:</b></li> <li>✓ Restate clearly your general objective under this section;</li> <li>✓ Under methodology, identify your research design that informs your qualitative approach, even though you are doing a critical literature review;</li> <li>✓ In the results section, this being a qualitative study review, why do you have to consider results from quantitative studies?</li> <li>✓ Your variables are not clear, i.e. you cannot distinguish between independent and dependent variables.</li> </ul>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Under chapter 1, I would have expected at least to see the specific objective to inform how the review was done in chapter 2.	

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Joshua Wafula Chesoli</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Kisii University, Kenya</b>