

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Economics, Management and Trade
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEMT_86855
Title of the Manuscript:	The Impact of Taxation on Economic Growth Using ARDL Approach: A Case Study of Pakistan
Type of the Article	Review article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljemt.com/index.php/JEMT/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	You already state in the abstract that you use a systematic review of the literature, but you only use 16 sources, which is not enough. Relevant articles from the Web of Science and Scopus databases should be used, and if it is a systematic review of the literature, a "review article", the article should contain far more references to other literature as well as more detailed information about the search, the number of suitable sources and, on the demographic distribution of authors, on the quality of journals in which articles were published. It is important to use a wider range of information to formulate results and draft recommendations. The methodology also states that you will only use studies from 2015-2020, while older studies are also included. You can find some interesting articles and tutorials on the Internet that do well on systematic review, such as https://journals.vilniustech.lt/index.php/BTP/article/view/13204 . The introduction mentions the source "Stoilova and Patonov (2012)", but this source is not listed in the bibliography (there is from 2013). The same source "Ahmad, Sial and Ahmad (2016)" - in the bibliography, but this source from 2016 is not. I also do not see the source "Andrasic, Kalas and Mirovic (2017)" in the bibliography. There is a lot of confusion in the citation records.	
Minor REVISION comments	In many places in the article, two words are joined together without spaces, which makes reading difficult.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jakub Horak
Department, University & Country	Institute of Technology and Business in Ceske Budejovice, Czech Republic