

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Economics, Management and Trade
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEMT_79039
Title of the Manuscript:	THE IMPACT OF FINANCIAL DEEPENING ON ECONOMIC GROWTH: EMPIRICAL EVIDENCE FROM YEMEN (1994-2018)
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljemt.com/index.php/JEMT/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The abstract is too short, author should revise it to extend within no more than 200 words by explaining the findings related to each variable of financial deepening and GDP with listing main recommendation. - The introduction section does not seem to be fit as there is no link among the sentences (ideas) and it lake of any citations in this regard. - Although there are many studies have been done on this topic but the manuscript used an old citations. - Most importantly, the methods of the study are not much convincing and justified. Why a multiple regression analysis is used to examine the hypothesis, not other approach such as GARCH model, VCEM or ARDL approach. Many similar studies have utilized for such investigations. - Model should be clearly written in the methodology. - Results discussion is not made properly. Also, it is not enough to just provide supporting citations with your results. - It is suggested to proofread the paper for grammatical and typing errors and also revisit the in-text citations to remove any errors. 	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mohammad Salem Oudat
Department, University & Country	Applied Science University, Bahrain