

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Economics, Management and Trade
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEMT_76162
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessing the Implementation of Decentralized Management System: Babre Tabor Municipality Administration in Focus
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<p>The paper is interesting and addresses a current topic. I have some recommendations that I think could increase the quality of the paper. These recommendations are:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Adding a short introductory section (of several sentences) in which to present the main objective of the paper, the motivation of the paper but also its structure would be useful. - The studies cited in the literature review are between 1998 to 2014. I recommend the author(s) to add also more recent studies (2017-2020, even 2021), because the situation may have changed considering especially the current global economic context. - be careful and correct a number of typos (for example: page 4, Ostaaijen (2008:) and Gulyaniet al (2001); page 8 "municipality" and Cohen,etal 1999; page 10 "Furthermore,a"; the title of figure 1 appears alone on a page, too large spaces between some paragraphs etc.). Pay attention to the appearance of the paper so that it is easy for readers to follow. - please add in the conclusion sections which are the limitations of your study and also further direction of development these problem. 	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Valentina Diana Rusu</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Alexandru Ioan Cuza University of Iasi, Romania</i>