

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_90273
Title of the Manuscript:	Quantifying water use efficiency in paddy production for different regions of Thenpennaiyaru river basin (TRB) of Tamil Nadu
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljeai.com/index.php/JEAI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The abstract did not include any search results The same phrases were repeated in the summary, introduction, materials and working methods? Why should you rewrite? The materials and methods did not include the design used nor the years of application of the research Why the results not analyzed statistically? The conclusions did not contain any conclusion, just repeated statements	
Minor REVISION comments	poor results References are old	
Optional/General comments	Support the results with more explanations Add more conclusions	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Suhad Mathkooor Abdulsahab Safi
Department, University & Country	University of Baghdad, Iraq