

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_88377
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of different establishment methods and nutrient management practices on growth, yield and economics of rice (<i>Oryza sativa</i> L.)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljeai.com/index.php/JEAI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Congratulations on the article. The title, abstract (where the abstract addressed the objective, design, methodology and conclusion of the study), keywords, and conclusion are significantly publishable.</p> <p>However, it is recommended to increase the introduction, including references to unreferenced paragraphs, as well as use more current references (last 5 years).</p> <p>In the results and discussion, the topics of grain and straw yield and cost of cultivation are significantly discussed.</p> <p>Nonetheless, the other parts must be more discussed.</p> <p>Remembering that the results and discussion must be followed by the presentation of the results, the explanation of the reasons for the results and finally the comparison with the authors researched in the literature.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Bruna de Villa
Department, University & Country	UFMS-Federal University of Santa Maria, Brazil