

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_87406
Title of the Manuscript:	Determination of the optimal doses of plant growth regulators for in vitro propagation of four varieties of potato (<i>Solanum tuberosum</i>) in Niger
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljeai.com/index.php/JEAI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. I suggest you mention in the <i>Introduction</i> section what other research on the <i>in vitro</i> culture of <i>Solanum tuberosum</i> species has been done and what results have been obtained. 2. The results obtained are not very clearly presented. The results must be presented either in the form of a figure or in the form of a table, the same results cannot be presented in both ways (see comments in the revised manuscript). 3. I recommend the introduction of representative photos with aspects of plantlets grown on culture media supplemented with different combinations of growth regulators. 4. The manuscript was not written with the utmost care. There is no uniformity in the writing of units of measurement, variety name, and <i>References</i> (see comments in the revised manuscript). 5. English translation needs to be revised (see changes in the revised manuscript). 	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Radomir Ana-Maria
Department, University & Country	Romania