

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_87258
Title of the Manuscript:	Study of some edible wild mushrooms of the genus Psathyrella (Fr.) Qué. discovered in the region of Daloa (Centre-West, Côte d'Ivoire)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljeai.com/index.php/JEAI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Title: The title is sufficientAbstract: The abstract is effectively handledIntroduction: A excellent introduction is doneMaterials and Methods: The part on methods is adequately addressed.Results: The findings are given in a transparent and comprehensive manner.Discussion: The discussion is once again covered all of the points.The manuscript seems to be well. The goal, methodology, and results are all clearly indicated.	
Minor REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Figures: The titles of the figures should be more informative. They are vital and quite well.Figures and tables are significant and well-presented.	
Optional/General comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">This is well and acceptable, and it contributes to the Journal of Experimental Agriculture International in a valuable way.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	R.Bakiyaraj
Department, University & Country	GAC (A), India