

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_87090
Title of the Manuscript:	YIELD AND PROFITABILITY OF ORGANIC RADISH CULTIVATION WITH DIFFERENT BETWEEN-ROW SPACINGS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljeai.com/index.php/JEAI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Research on organic crops with different spacing techniques is important for world scientific knowledge, in order to provide knowledge to achieve food and economic security of the people. In the introduction, important concepts are offered, figures or statistics on radish cultivation are given, background is provided, consequently the research problem is sufficiently justified and the objective is clear and precise. In the methodology it is suggested to include the type and design of the research, as well as the name of the country where the study was conducted. The results fit the objective of the research. It is recommended to strengthen the discussion with more and deeper arguments offered in this regard by the world scientific literature. The bibliographical references must be updated and adjusted to the requirements of form or style of the journal.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Gilberto Bastidas
Department, University & Country	University of Carabobo, Venezuela