

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_86342
Title of the Manuscript:	Potassium forms in Brown Sarson growing soils in District Kupwara, Kashmir
Type of the Article	Manuscript

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljeai.com/index.php/JEAI/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• The title is informative and accurate• The aim of manuscript is clear, and the study findings need more explanations and discussion.• The references are relevant to the topic of the manuscript, and I think the authors are able to find modern references instead of the old once. Mentioning to references in the text should be done by using the right numbering and sequencing. References were listed and put Incorrectly. Although, some of references need to be adjusted and unified in their writing manner.• The introduction is clear and covered the topic of the study• The research question needs to be outlined• Some paragraphs left without references. So, authors should provide references for the mentioned paragraphs.• I think the methods section is not recognized, and there aren't enough details to replicate the study and there wasn't any proposed data analysis technique. Furthermore, most of references in materials and methods are old and need to be updated.• The authors presented the data in an appropriate way, tables are relevant and clearly presented, appropriate unites. Titles, columns, and rows labelled correctly and clearly.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sarmad Ghazi Al-Shawi
Department, University & Country	Basrah University, Iraq