Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**Journal of Experimental Agriculture International**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_JEAI_85860**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Agromet Advisory Services for Climate Smart Agriculture**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(https://www.journaljeai.com/index.php/JEAI/editorial-policy)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**ABSTRACT: this part doesn't present the summarized facts on the research in reference to the problem statement, and did not answer the following questions:** **1. What is the main research problem?** **2. What is the general and main objective of the research?** **3. What methods/approaches are used?** **4. What are the major findings** **INTRODUCTION: the following are the major issues in the introduction:** **1. There is NO properly defined problem statement** **2. There is NO clearly stated justification** **3. There is NO research objective/research gap to be filled** **4. There is NO link on the AAS in this section** **5. Literature NOT duly cited and accorded** **MATERIALS AND METHOD:** **1. Method employed is NOT clearly stated** **2. Procedures employed in selecting the zones and districts are not clear** **3. It doesn't present scientific methods that could be employed or replicated elsewhere** **4. It is more or less listing already established networks BUT NOT how procedural protocols were employed and established** **RESULTS and DISCUSSION** **1. There is NO DATA collected** **2. It is just an outline of services AAS offers** **3. Discussions are just quotes from literature without any data support and link to the research** **4. Most information presented are not relevant to the research** **5. No research question/objective and therefore discussions have no reference** **CONCLUSION:** **Conclusion should be done in reference to the research topic and objective**	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	This research article needs serious major revision (>5-7) for it to be considered for another review and correction	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Emmanuel Oppong**
Department, University & Country	**Kwame Nkrumah University of Science and Technology, S.D.A College of Education, Ghana**