

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Experimental Agriculture International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_85490
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of the effectiveness of new phytosanitary protection programs in cotton crops adapted to jassids
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljeai.com/index.php/JEAI/editorial-policy> )

**Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p>	<p><u>GENERAL REMARKS</u>            - The author has made the effort to properly structure his manuscript following the classic presentation format of a scientific article.            - However, some such important titles are missing to put. The author forgot to create a part for the "STUDY AREA" just after the "INTRODUCTION" part and before the "MATERIALS AND METHODS" part.            - After the insertion of this part, the author is brought to harmonize the serial numbers.            - Figures and tables must be placed each time just after their introductory or descriptive text in which they are mentioned.</p> <p><u>MANUSCRIPT TITLE</u>            - The title is acceptable.</p> <p><u>ABSTRACT</u>            - The summary is well formulated by the author.            - The abstract contains the main standard parts around which the abstract of a scientific article must be formulated.</p> <p><u>KEYWORDS</u>            - The words chosen are well descriptive of the subject of study.            - However, the author may add another word: specify the country or place where the study took place.</p> <p><u>INTRODUCTION</u>            - The introduction is well written, precise and less long.            - In the introduction, the author clearly brought out the problem and the objective of the subject.</p> <p><u>STUDY ZONE</u>            - This part is not isolated and clearly specified by the author. The title should be inserted where I put it.            - The author must add this title to his manuscript.            - In this part, the author must present a map of the area where the work of this study took place.            - The author can simply put in this part figure 1 which he placed in the "Materials and Methods" part.            - It is in this part that the author can present the justification for the choice of the study area. This justification can be made before or after Figure 1 indicating the study sites.            - After introducing this title at this point in the manuscript, the author must take care to number the title while adjusting the rest of the numbering.</p> <p><u>MATERIAL AND METHODS</u></p> <p><u>Material</u>            - No problem</p> <p><u>Methods</u>            - Delete from this part the first three sentences and figure 1.            - Use these three sentences and figure 1 to write the part concerning the "Study area".            - The author can use figure 1 instead of the cartography that he would normally redo</p>	

**Review Form 1.6**

	<p>to locate the study area.          - By deleting these three sentences, the author must rephrase this part.          - It is important to specify the sources or references (authors, year) of the different methods used during this study.          Statistical analyzes          - Specify the sources or references (authors, year) of the formula for calculating the effectiveness threshold of the programs implemented.</p> <p><u>RESULTS</u>          - Figure 3 and Table 4 must be placed just after the descriptive texts in which they are mentioned.          - Table 5 should be deleted from the manuscript because it is not mentioned anywhere. Or, if not, the author must reformulate his text to indicate Table 5 and then keep it.</p> <p><u>DISCUSSION</u>          - The author has simply quoted authors without presenting the results of their work with which he wants to compare his own results.          - It should also be remembered that the author, by comparing his results with those of other authors, must succinctly and clearly specify the major conditions under which their work was carried out to lead to their results.</p> <p><u>CONCLUSION</u>          - Not too many major problems to report.          - The conclusion was well formulated; it is acceptable</p> <p><u>REFERENCES</u>          - The author must ensure that only the authors whose works have been cited in the manuscript are included in this section (and vice versa).          - All the works cited here are well chosen because they were carried out on themes that are similar to the title of this study.</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Koudegnan Comlan Mawussi
Department, University & Country	University of Lome, Togo