

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_83488
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of different sources of nutrient on quality, soil nutrient status and economics of capsicum cv. California wonder under low cost of polyhouse condition
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljeai.com/index.php/JEAI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The fruit yield of capsicum has not been discussed anywhere in the text except mentioning in the abstract. This needs to be appropriately presented in results and discussion.</p> <p>The yield data must be incorporated in Table 1 in the first column itself instead of showing it in Table 3.</p> <p>None of the parameters presented in Table are not statistically analyzed. They need to be statistically analyzed and presented with SEM_± and CD at 5%.</p> <p>The references 2, 6, 9 and 11 are not cited in the body of the text anywhere. They may be omitted from references.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>All the grammatical and technical corrections may carried out as shown.</p> <p>Since the treatment details are presented in the materials and methods only notations may be presented in the Tables.</p> <p>The style of reference citation and listing should be as per the style of the journal.</p>	
Optional/General comments	<p>The paper is based on one year data. The Editors may take a call on this issue as per the norms of the journal.</p>	

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	B.G. Shivakumar
Department, University & Country	ICAR-Indian Grassland and Fodder Research Institute, India