

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_83374
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of sowing dates on yield attributes, yield and economics of linseed (<i>Linum usitatissimum</i> L.) varieties
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljeai.com/index.php/JEAI/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Review of the article “Effect of sowing dates on yield attributes, yield and economics of linseed (<i>Linum usitatissimum</i> L.) varieties” submitted to Journal of Experimental Agriculture International.</p> <p>1. The authors, in this paper, study the effect of sowing dates on yield attributes, yield and economics of linseed varieties.</p> <p>2. The authors provide a field experiments during the result showed significantly higher number of capsule plant, number of seed capsule, seed yield, biological yield and harvest index, gross monetary return and net monetary return</p> <p>To improve the quality and performance of the manuscript, it must be addressed by making adjustments and taking the following notes:</p> <p>.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1- In the abstract, many symbols are not defined, so it is preferable to mention their explicit name. 2- It was mentioned in the paper that there is a great scope to increase the productivity of the linseed crop to obtain a higher yield per unit area through the adoption of improved agricultural practices. The authors did not mention ways to improve. 3- The purpose of the research was not mentioned in the introduction. 4- The paper needs a lot of modern references, especially in the introduction. 5- In the discussion and Results, a sentence was mentioned, but the similarities and the reasons that led to that were not mentioned. 6- Rewrite the conclusion to include a simplified idea of the researcher's findings. 7- The language of the paper needs more care and arrangement. 	
Optional/General comments		

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Kareem Ali Jasim
Department, University & Country	University of Baghdad, Iraq