

## Studies on Mineral Nutrient Concentration of different cultivars of Sweet cherry (*Prunus avium L.*) in Kashmir

### Abstract

The aim of the study was to investigate the differences in leaf nutrient concentrations among the three cultivars (Misri, Double and Makhmali) of Sweet Cherry even though they are grown in same conditions. The other goal of the study was to determine variation in nutrient concentration of sweet cherry grown under different locations. Significant differences between cultivars were observed in nitrogen, calcium, Magnesium and Sulphur content in leaves, whereas phosphorus and potassium contents did not exhibit significant differences among the cultivars under study. The higher Nitrogen content was observed in *Double* and lowest in *Makhmali* cultivar. Higher content of Magnesium and Sulphur content was observed in *Misri* and lowest in *Makhmali* cultivar of sweet Cherry. All the macronutrients under study were significantly affected by the location of the orchards.

**Key words:** Sweet Cherry, cultivars, Leaf nutrient Concentrations,

### Introduction

Sweet Cherry (*Prunus Sativus L.*) is fruit crop of the valley of Kashmir which comes first in the market among the other temperate fruits and hence fetches a good remunerative price. Among the different cultivars grown in the valley Misri, Double and Makhmali cultivars are cultivated on large scale. Orchard nutrition is a pre harvest and post harvest practice that affects productivity and fruit quality and has to be performed very carefully since after harvest fruit quality cannot be improved. The importance of leaf nutrient analysis for a scheduled fertilizer programme cannot be ignored. Although soil analysis provides the insight of nutrient availability for uptake but leaf analysis provides us with the measure of actual uptake by the the plant. Plant nutrient concentration may differ even if they are grown in the same soil conditions conditions. (Kacar, 1995., Bergmann, 1992., Marshner, 1995.) Studies have shown that root stock, plant

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Comment [A1]: Check spelling with reference  
Kaccar or Kacar

Comment [A2]: Check spelling

cultivars may affect the mineral nutrient concentration. ( Roversi et al 2010; Sotirov 2011). Therefore nutrient uptake and translocation ability of different plant cultivars must also be taken into account for plant growth because these differences can affect yield and quality( *Gioradno and Motved, 1974*). Also **determining** plant nutrient uptake capacity is important to know how much nutrient is required for a particular cultivar (Jimenez et al., 2004). Although Sweet Cherry being an important crop in the valley has been by and large neglected and is not managed scientifically resulting in low yield and poor quality of the fruit. The studies on the nutritional aspects of this crop has not been satisfactorily dealt and meager information about the nutrient status of this crop is available. Therefore the present work was undertaken with an aim to study the variations in nutrient concentrations of different cultivars of Sweet Cherry grown in the two districts, Srinagar and Ganderbal, of the Kashmir Valley.

**Formatted:** Font: Not Italic

**Comment [A3]:** Reference missing

**Comment [A4]:** spelling

**Comment [A5]:** Reference missing

## Material and Methods

### Study Site

The study was undertaken in two districts of Kashmir valley, Srinagar and Ganderbal. The 12 orchards of Sweet Cherry growing the three cultivars (*Misri, Double and Makhmali*) were undertaken for the study. **The orchards were more than 15 years old.**

**Comment [A6]:** General view of the sampled site can be added

### Soil sampling and Analysis

The soil samples were collected from 12 representative orchards from 4 layers (0-20, 20-40, 40-60 and 60- 80 cm) by using manual soil sampling equipments. The soil samples were processed and analyzed for Chemical properties by adopting standard procedures. Soil pH and EC were **measured** in **1:2:5** soil water suspension by pH meter and EC meter respectively (Jackson, 1973). The available macronutrients were determined by using standard procedures like Available N (Subbbiah & Assija, 1956), **Available P (Jackson, 1973)**, **Available K (Jackson, 1973)**, Exchangeable Calcium and Magnesium ( Black, 1965) and available Sulphur ( Chesnin & Yien, 1951).

**Comment [A7]:** Specify reason why different depths were chosen in literature. If possible attach the photos of soil samples taken depth wise along with the sampling equipments.

**Comment [A8]:** Spelling

**Comment [A9]:** Correct ratio 1:2.5

**Comment [A10]:** Check with the standard procedures commonly followed. Literatures usually use Walkley and Black method for Neutral and Alkaline soils and Bray and Kurtz method for Acid soils.

### Plant sampling and leaf mineral analysis

Leaf sampling was done at 60 DAFB (days after Full Bloom). Healthy, fully developed leaf samples were collected from mid terminal shoots of current season growth (Chapman, 1964). Leaf samples were washed and dried outdoors in an airy place for a week. After drying samples in a well ventilated drying oven for 6 hrs at 40°C, the whole sampled material was finely ground and homogenized. Samples were then stored in paper bags in a dark and dry place until use. The leaf samples for N estimation were digested by Sulphuric acid in presence of catalytic mixture whereas for estimation of P, K, Ca, Mg and S the leaf samples were digested in Diacid ( $\text{HNO}_3 + \text{HClO}_4$  9:4 ratio). The extracts obtained were analysed for estimation by standard procedures as outlined by Jackson, 1973.

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Comment [A11]:  $\text{HClO}_4$

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

### Data Analysis.

All results obtained were subjected to analysis of variance (ANOVA) for means separation. (Test at  $P \leq 0.05$ )

## Results and Discussion

### Soil

Orchard soils at both the locations were slightly acidic to alkaline in reaction. (Table: 1). The EC was normal and Organic carbon was medium to high in range. The soils were medium in available Nitrogen and Phosphorus and high in Available Potassium, Calcium and Magnesium whereas Sulphur was in medium range. These nutrients showed decreasing trend down the profile which may be attributed to the high organic matter content in the surface soil samples than the subsurface soil samples.

Comment [A12]: Indicate as either non-saline or saline

Comment [A13]: Indicate the ranges of the parameters with reference

Comment [A14]: Check spelling

### Leaf Nutrient Concentrations

#### Nitrogen

Nitrogen content in all the three cultivars of Sweet Cherry was adequate irrespective of the locations of the orchard which may be attributed to the adequate nitrogen and organic carbon percentage in the soil under study. Georgiv, 1984 and Ystaas, 1990 also reported the same relationship of soil and plant nutrients. Nitrogen content in all the three varieties of sweet cherry was significantly higher at Ganderbal orchard than at Srinagar orchards. The Double cultivar of sweet cherry was having significantly higher N content than the Misri and Makhmali. All the three cultivars were having significant differences in N content among each other.

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

### **Phosphorus**

All the three cultivars of sweet cherry at both the locations showed adequate concentration of phosphorus. The content of Phosphorus in all the three cultivars was significantly higher in the orchards at Ganderbal location. Among the three cultivars phosphorus did not exhibit any significant difference.

### **Potassium**

Adequate concentration of potassium in all the three cultivars of sweet cherry was observed at both the locations. There were no significant differences in potassium concentration exhibited by the three cultivars. Ganai *et al.*, 1991 also reported the same effect of cultivars on the concentration of Phosphorus and Potassium.

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

### **Calcium**

Calcium content in the foliage of all the three cultivars of sweet cherry at both Ganderbal and Srinagar orchards were adequate. Misri cultivar of sweet cherry showed higher concentration of calcium irrespective of the location of the orchard but the content of calcium did not show significant differences between Double and Makhmali cultivar. Significantly higher concentration of Calcium was observed in the foliage of sweet cherry at Ganderbal location.

### **Magnesium**

The concentration of Magnesium in the foliage of all the three cultivars was adequate sampled at both Ganderbal and Srinagar. Significantly higher concentration of Magnesium was observed in all the three cultivars of sweet cherry at Ganderbal. Magnesium content in the foliage of misri cultivar was significantly higher than the other two cultivars and all the three cultivars exhibited significant differences in the Mg concentration. The lowest concentration of Mg was observed in Makhmali cultivar.

### **Sulphur**

As with the other macronutrients sulphur content was also adequate in all the three cultivars irrespective of the locations of the orchards. Significantly higher concentrations Sulphur were observed in Ganderbal orchards than that of Srinagar. Misri and Double cultivars were not having significant differences in their Sulphur content but both Misri and Double cultivars were having significant differences with that of Makhmali cultivar.

The adequate amounts of all the leaf nutrient concentrations of sweet cherry in all the three cultivars under study could be attributed to the adequate fertility status of the soils which could be understood by the high organic carbon percentage of soils at both the locations. Strong correlation between soil fertility status and leaf nutrient concentrations was also reported by Cossandro *et al.*, 2008.

The significant differences in the nutrient contents of sweet Cherry cultivars can be explained with the genetic effect leading to different nutrient uptake capacity, which was also reported by Kucukyumuk and Erdal, 2011 while studying the the effect of cultivars of Apple on their nutrient concentrations. Similarly Nagy *et al.*, 2006 explained the same phenomenon for the diiferential uptake of nutrients by different cultivars of Apple.

Therefore it can be summed up as that nutrient concentrations in a plant seems to be the result of interaction between its genetic inheritance and the environment in which it grows. This indicates that both soil and plant or leaf analysis are important tools for studying the nutritional status of fruit orchards.

## References

- Bergmann , W., 1992.** Colour atlas. Nutritional disorders of Plants. Gustav FischerVerlag Jenna.
- Black, C.A. 1965.** Methods of Soil Analysis. Vol I&II.eric an Society of Agronomy Inc. Madison USA.
- Chapman, H.D.1964.** Suggested Foilar Sampling and Handling Techniques for determining the nutrient status of some field, horticultural and plantation crops. Indian Journal Of Horticulture. **21** (2): 97-119.

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Comment [A15]: Spelling

- Cossandro, V.T.A., Cristiano A, S., Alviro, L.M & Jackson, A, A.** 2008. Yield and fruit quality of Apple from conventional and organic production systems. *Pesq.agropec.. bras. Brasilla.* 43(3):333-340.
- Chesnin,L.and Yien, C.H.** 1951. Turbidimetric Determination of Available Sulphur. *Proceedings of Soil Science Society of America.* **15:** 149-151.
- Ganai, M.R, Talib, A.R. and Handoo,G.M.** 1991. Nutrient Indexing of Cherry Orchards of Kashmir. *Fertilizer News.* **36** (2): 49-51.
- Georgiv, S.** 1984. Effect of Nitrogen Fertilization on the nutrient element content of Cherry leaves.*Grandnarsaka I Lozarska Nauka* **21** (7) : 34-41
- Jackson, M.L.** 1973. *Soil Chemical Analysis.* Prentice Hall Of India, Pvt. Ltd, New Delhi.
- Kaccar, B.,** 1995. *Soil Analysis* Ankara University, Faculty of Agriculture. 3. 150. Ankara University.
- Kucukyumuk, Z., and Erdal, I.** 2011. Root stock and cultivar effect on mineral nutrition, seasonal nutrient variation and correlations among leaf, flower and fruit concentrations in apple trees.*Bulgarian Journal of Agricultural Sciences.* 17: 633-641.
- Marchener, H.,** 1995. *Mineral Nutrition of Higher Plants.* 2<sup>nd</sup> Ed. Academic Press. San Diago, USA.
- Nagy, P.T., Gonda. I., Dremaik, P., Holb, I.** 2006. Study on micronutrient content of soil and leaf of an organic apple orchard in Eastern Hungary. *International Journal of Horticultural Sciences.* 12: 7-11
- Roversi A, Malvicini, G.L., Porro, D., Plessi, C.** 2010. Sweet cherry leaf composition as influenced by genotype, rootstock and orchard management. *Acta Horticulture.* 868: 243-246.
- SotirovD,** 2011. Macro elements Content of the leaves Of Van Sweet cherry cultivar on different rootstocks. *Rstenievudni Nuki* 48: 43-46.
- Subbiah, B.V. and Assija, G.L.** 1956. A rapid Procedure for the estimation of Available Nitrogen. *Current Science,* 25: 259-260.
- Walkley, A. and Black, I.A.** 1934. An Examination Of the method for determining soil organic matter and a proposed modification of the chromic acid titration method. *Soil Science* **37**(16): 29-39.

**Comment [A16]:** Check spelling

**Comment [A17]:** Check spelling

**Comment [A18]:** Check punctuation

Ystaas, J. 1990. The influence of cherry root stocks on the content of major nutrients of three sweet cherry cultivars. Acta Horticulture, 274: 517-520.

Ystaas, J. 1990. Nutritional Requirements of Sweet Cherries. Acta Horticulture, 274: 521-525.

**Comment [A19]:** Indicate the earlier mentioned reference as 1990a and the second as 1990b

UNDER PEER REVIEW

**Table1. Result of Chemical Analysis of Soils at two locations.**

Location	Parameter	Depth (cm)			
		0-20	20-40	40-60	60-80
Ganderbal	pH	6.7	6.6	6.6	6.3
	EC (dS)	0.21	0.23	0.21	0.22
	OC	1.6	1.2	1.0	1.0
	N	161.1	129.1	111.3	98.1

**Comment [A20]:** Mention units of individual parameters in a separate column

**Comment [A21]:** Check Unit

	<b>P</b>	8.9	8.0	7.1	6.0
	<b>K</b>	169.1	151.1	143.2	128.3
	<b>Ca</b>	1965	2001	2050	2060
	<b>Mg</b>	424	390	391	380
	<b>S</b>	9.4	9.3	9.2	9.0
<b>Srinagar</b>	<b>pH</b>	6.9	6.3	6.5	6.2
	<b>EC (dS)</b>	0.22	0.21	0.23	0.23
	<b>OC</b>	1.5	1.1 <sup>^</sup>	1.1	1.0
	<b>N</b>	155.6	121.5	99.7	95.2
	<b>P</b>	8.6	7.9	7.8	7.5
	<b>K</b>	160.1	143.2	131.6	120.7
	<b>Ca</b>	1862	1998	2001	2022
	<b>Mg</b>	418	375	361	343
	<b>S</b>	9.1	8.9	8.3	8.0

**Comment [A22]:** Are all units of NPK Ca Mg and S same?

**Table 2: Leaf nutrient concentration (%) of different cultivars of Sweet Cherry.**

Nutrient	Location	Cultivars		
		Misri	Double	Makhmali
Nitrogen	Ganderbal	2.38a**	2.41b	2.32c
	Srinagar	2.10b	2.39c	2.21d
	Ganderbal	0.148a*	0.149a	0.147a

<b>Phosphorus</b>	<b>Srinagar</b>	0.146b	0.147b	0.145b
<b>Potassium</b>	<b>Ganderbal</b>	1.68a	1.71a	1.66a
	<b>Srinagar</b>	1.63b	1.67b	1.62b
<b>Calcium</b>	<b>Ganderbal</b>	1.60a	1.57b	1.57b
	<b>Srinagar</b>	1.58b	1.56c	1.55c
<b>Magnesium</b>	<b>Ganderbal</b>	0.49a	0.48b	0.47c
	<b>Srinagar</b>	0.47b	0.46c	0.45d
<b>Sulphur</b>	<b>Ganderbal</b>	0.36a	0.36a	0.35b
	<b>Srinagar</b>	0.37b	0.38b	0.36c

\* Difference between the same letters in rows and columns are non Significant.

\*\*Difference between the values followed by separate letters in rows and columns are Significant.

UNDER PEER REVIEW