

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_80171
Title of the Manuscript:	Constraints encountered by wheat producer in adoption of wheat production technology in Faizabad district in Uttar Pradesh
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljeai.com/index.php/JEAI/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The paper needs minor revision before publication. I have mentioned all revisions as comments, track changes, or else on the annotated text of the paper as mentioned below and also mentioned in the attachment. Although Uttar Pradesh is bigger than at least 70 countries in the world with a large economy and population and many famous and historical cities, yet it is not a country and many people do not know about its location. It is acknowledged that it has a population of over 200 million inhabitants (a population equal to the joint population of Afghanistan, Iran, Turkey, and Iraq) and it is the most populated state in India as well as the most populous country subdivision in the world. Even then it is not a country. A subdivision of a country is only recognized by the name of a country. Therefore it is necessary to write the name of the principal country to which it belongs. The title of the paper should be changed as suggested</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>General comments the paper is well written and could be accepted after some minor revisions as suggested on the annotated text of the paper. What is the meaning of a block in the Uttar Pradesh context? It should be clarified when the block comes the first time in the M&M. The word Block creates confusion. The reviewer was also confused and thought if it is a block in the experimental field. Highlighted or commented portions should be rewritten or modified. Percent should be changed to % everywhere in the paper as mentioned in the text. Word Jwar is not an English word. It should be replaced with an appropriate English word. It should be sorghum.</p>	
Optional/General comments	<p>The general economic level of the people living in the blocks, agricultural practices, and their religious background will improve the background of the paper. A map of Uttar Pradesh In India, Faizabad in UP, and blocks in Faizabad is desired. The authors should try to add at least 8-10 more references in the discussion. The discussion is weak. Conclusion It is very long it should be shortened to 130-140 words only.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Khalid Mahmood Khawar
Department, University & Country	Ankara University, Turkey