

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Experimental Agriculture International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_79983
Title of the Manuscript:	MATURITY CLASSIFICATIONS INFLUENCE PHENOLOGICAL INTERVALS IN MAIZE
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljeai.com/index.php/JEAI/editorial-policy> )

## Review Form 1.6

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The authors justify their interest in analyzing the phenological stages of corn hybrids (<i>Zea mays</i> L.).</li> <li>2. Present the morphological differences and/or similarities of the hybrids that make up each group.</li> <li>3. They must morphologically identify the mother-species that gave rise to the hybrids.</li> <li>4. Make reference to whether they are autochthonous or non-local in the region where the planting took place.</li> <li>5. Present an approach to the regional microclimate.</li> <li>6. What edapho-climatic factors did you take into account in the environment of the study area?</li> <li>7. What were the averages of temperature and relative humidity of the different phenological stages?</li> <li>8. Was there an influence of environmental factors on the phenological stages of the different groups?</li> </ol>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Identify the type and general characteristics of the soil on the plot where the plantation was carried out.</li> <li>2. Identify the soil pH, in the planting areas of each group.</li> <li>3. See the sequence of numbers referring to the bibliographic references in the text.</li> <li>4. The bibliographical reference number 9 is missing.</li> </ol>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>Marízia Clara De Menezes Dias Pereira</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Évora University, Portugal</b>