

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_77228
Title of the Manuscript:	ANALYSIS ON ADOPTION OF BLACKGRAM GROWERSIN PERIYAR VAIGAI COMMAND AREA OF MADURAI DISTRICT
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>ABSTRACT Abstract as a whole is not properly done consider give brief summary of the following in the abstract</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Brief introduction – lines 2. the problem being addressed 1-2 lines 3. Objective of the study 4. Variables being tested 5. Study method 6. Data analysis method 7. Results (Key findings) 8. Conclusion and recommendation <p>INTRODUCTION Introduction part should be re-done to build on the knowledge gap being addressed by the current study. The section need to be referenced</p> <p>Materials and Methods</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The study area is not well described this need to be re-done. Also provide map of study area 2. How was sample size determined for the study? For instance, did you use any formula to arrive at the sample of 120 people who participated in the study? 3. How were these participants identified (recruited) in the study? 4. The reason given for the selection of the study area is not convincing. The fact that the researcher resides in the area of study cannot be the reason for selection of the study area. 5. It is not clear whether the researcher used questionnaire or ? the researcher only talks of "well-structured and pre-tested interview schedule" this is vague. <p>Data Analysis</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. What were the variables tested in this study? 2. SPSS which version? 3. Consider also using chi square test of association to see whether variables evaluated are associated by respondent gender <p>Results</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Despite giving the method of data analysis, the results are poorly presented. Check on how correlation results are presented in APA format 2. Results description should be done before the tables. Describe results first then tables. 3. Present the results for different sections separately (see comments in the document) 	
Minor REVISION comments	Check on punctuations	
Optional/General comments		

Comment [p1]: Questionnaires or ?

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ogolla O. Fredrick
Department, University & Country	Chuka University, Kenya