

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_77228
Title of the Manuscript:	ANALYSIS ON ADOPTION OF BLACKGRAM GROWERSIN PERIYAR VAIGAI COMMAND AREA OF MADURAI DISTRICT
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p>	<p>The paper is need more clearing for material and methods, the references too short and need add recent years and need more correct in text Grammatical ,</p> <p>for example : in Introduction association the correct is associations</p> <p>policy makers the correct is policymakers</p> <p><u>the</u> dissemination <u>the</u> researcher technology <u>was</u></p> <p>In material and methods: For example: beneficiaries <u>was</u> area the correct is areas <u>the</u> purposive</p> <p>In conclusion For example : Majority the correct the Majority per cent the correct percent meager the correct meagre <u>a</u> medium <u>a</u> moderate <u>the</u> supply <u>the</u> latest <u>a</u> good reduce <u>the</u> lack</p> <p>In Abst. For example Majority the correct the Majority meager the correct meagre <u>a</u> high <u>The</u> TAMWARM</p> <p>Note: Please author has to put the words which under line in text as shown previously</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p>		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ali Metwally Sami
Department, University & Country	Agricultural And Biological Institute, National Research Centre, Egypt