

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_76785
Title of the Manuscript:	VIEWS OF FARMERS ON THE STRUCTURE OF PRIVATE EXTENSION SERVICE ORGANIZATION
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The complete review is in the comment section of the manuscript. The author(s) need to demonstrate that they have gone to the depths of knowledge through citations. There is a body of work in this research area that the author(s) could benefit from. The discussions of the results have to be correctly argued.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	Comments in the manuscript have to be attended to and issues addressed before the manuscript is considered for publication.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p> <p>Yes, interviews with people of varying backgrounds, societal hierarchy and age groups were conducted; this can expose the respondents to issues of crime, safety, sabotage, etc.</p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Tshepo Teele
Department, University & Country	South Africa