

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_75249
Title of the Manuscript:	Genotype By Trait Biplot Studies on Yield, Physiological and Nutritional Traits in Pearl Millet [Pennisetum glaucum (L.) R. Br.]
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>1- Please check the comments in the attached word file</p> <p>2- Abstract: The researcher must write</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a- the background of his study b- Methods must include the place and the year/season of the study c- The important results d- Conclusion <p>3- He used some old references and he used a lot of references for another crops and he should use references related to his crop under investigation</p> <p>4- There are some incomplete references (without Vo. Number and page numbers and wrong page numbers.).</p> <p>5- The researcher used only one ridge (row) for his study, and it was better and right to sown in three rows and take his sample from the middle row (it is very important and it is a defect in the study).</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	Some grammar mistakes in the manuscript	
Optional/General comments		

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Samir R. E. Abo-Hegazy
Department, University & Country	Cairo University, Egypt