

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_74467
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECT OF NITROGEN, PHOSPHORUS AND VARIETY ON PHYSIOLOGICAL INDICES AND NUTRIENT COMPOSITION OF LIVINGSTONE POTATO (<i>Plectranthus esculentus</i> N.E.Br.) IN GOMBE, NORTHERN GUINEA SAVANNAH, NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>An excellent study that highlights the shortcomings faced of experienced agriculture and allows a fine-tuning between technology and production to begin.</p> <p>Proper and sustainable cultivation of livingstone potatoes that stand out in the field of food can reduce the worsening of hunger in the future.</p> <p>It can be published after correcting the indicated errors.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>The data indicated in the tables and the text reference are the same, clearly communicating the effects of the doses tested.</p> <p>Acceptance of corrections / recommendations in the bubbles is not mandatory, just a comment.</p>	
Optional/General comments	<p>Aside from minor flaws, it's a great study.</p> <p>By inserting the missing authors, it will be a great publication among the articles written in crop production.</p>	

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Nikoletta Edit Nagy</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>University Of Debrecen, Hungary</i>