

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_74467
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECT OF NITROGEN, PHOSPHORUS AND VARIETY ON PHYSIOLOGICAL INDICES AND NUTRIENT COMPOSITION OF LIVINGSTONE POTATO (<i>Plectranthus esculentus</i> N.E.Br.) IN GOMBE, NORTHERN GUINEA SAVANNAH, NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The research shows the effect of fertilization with N and P, on the traditional tuber of an area of Nigeria (Gombe), where some phonological and physiological variables with yield were evaluated, related to the harvest index. It is anticipated that the statistical design does not allow you to reach some statements that are made in the document (see observations in the document). Research can be a contribution to the region where it was developed but taking the work to another level and with statistical designs that allow better observation and explanation of the results obtained (that the interactions can be quantified).	
Minor REVISION comments	It is necessary to review the entire document and make adjustments to the observations made (attached document)	
Optional/General comments	With the inclusion of the proposed adjustments in the review, their publication is feasible.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hugo Ruiz – Eraso
Department, University & Country	The Nariño Of University, Colombia

Review Form 1.6