

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Cancer and Tumor International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JCTI_77043
Title of the Manuscript:	Development of Curcumin loaded Nanostructured Lipid Carriers: Preparation, Characterization and In-vitro evaluation of Anti-cancer activity against A-549 Human lung cancer cell line
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Provide Graphical abstract Provide highlights of the studies Rewrite conclusion section Referred following link reported study is partially reported go through following link https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S0927775716305064</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Need to improve the grammar and rewrite few sentences</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. In the introduction line no 3; 'ease of availability' should be rewrite as 'easy to available' 2. In the introduction line no 11; 'had no effect on' should be rewrite as 'did not affect.' 3. In the section 2.2.1 line no 1; 'in order to' should be rewrite as 'to'. 4. In the section 2.2.5 line no 2; 'their physical' should be rewrite as 'the physical' 5. In the section 2.3.2 line no 2; '90 degree' should be rewrite as '90°C' 6. In the section 2.3.5 line no 1; 'PANanalytical' should be rewrite as 'PAN analytical' 7. In the section 2.5 Line no 3; 'a three-month period' should be rewrite as 'three months' 8. Figure no 7 image quality need to improved 9. 3.2.5 and 3.2.6 need to rewrite this section 10. LC50 rewrite as LC₅₀ 11. Conclusion section need to rewrite in pinpoint includes outcomes, highlight of proposed study 	
Optional/General comments	<p>Figure 1 slightly modified and used as the graphical abstract Provide EDX and TEM analysis</p>	

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Devidas S. Bhagat
Department, University & Country	Government Institute of Forensic Science Aurangabad, India