

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMPS_89518
Title of the Manuscript:	Antimicrobial Resistance: Unexplained Failure to Antibiotic Therapy, A Case at Maluti Adventist Hospital Berea Lesotho
Type of the Article	Case report

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljamps.com/index.php/JAMPS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p><i>The manuscript is fall in the scope and aims of JAMPS</i> <i>The quality and the scientific soundness is fairly okay</i> <i>All corrections should be done before the start of publication</i> <i>There is no declaration of conflict interests</i> <i>There is no author contributions</i> <i>There is no data availability</i> <i>There is no acknowledgement</i> <i>Add present before study</i> <i>Add case presentation instead of presentation of case</i> <i>Rewrite the keywords</i> <i>Add painful instead of catastrophic</i> <i>Add reference after infection (Intro.)</i> <i>Discussion should be based on discuss the obtained results with those of the previous investigators</i> <i>Results should be concise</i> <i>Conclusion is not enough</i> <i>The most cited references need to be more update</i> <i>The numbers of references are very few</i> <i>Some journal names are written abbreviated , while others were not (same style should be)</i></p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Elsayed Eldeeb Mehana Hamouda
Department, University & Country	Alexandria University, Egypt