

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMPS_86613
Title of the Manuscript:	CURATIVE AND TOXIC EFFECTS OF SELECTED MEDICINAL PLANTS ON INTERNAL ORGANS OF Rattus albus EXPERIMENTALLY INFECTED WITH HUMAN INTESTINAL PARASITES
Type of the Article	Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljocamr.com/index.php/JOCAMR/editorial-policy>)

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Reference citation technique should be corrected throughout the manuscript.</li> <li>In the discussion section authors should give possible information of bioactive compounds present in investigated plant which might be responsible for desired biological activity.</li> <li>Author should clearly mention what technique is used for sample size determination? In which basis 230 patients are selected? There are formula and techniques to be used for total sample size determination.</li> <li>How these strains were identified? Which institution completed strain identification by which technique?</li> <li>In this section please provide brief information (mainly possible pathogenesis) about each parasite used in this experiment.</li> <li>Authors should highlight the rationality of this study in brief paragraph. Why this study is necessary?</li> <li>Total words of abstract should not exceed 300 words as per journal guideline.</li> </ol>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>It would be more organized if authors write discussion with separation of different sub headings.</li> <li>Make uniformity throughout the manuscript while writing table number.</li> <li>Citation of references for many methodologies in the manuscript are missing please correct it.</li> <li>Should express these concentrations in terms of ppm or mg/ml or µg/ml.</li> <li>Why Soxhlet extraction. Excess heat in this technique may degrade some bioactive constituents.</li> <li>Should mention the voucher number of preserved herbarium.</li> <li>Altitude and latitude of plant collection area?</li> </ol>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>After naming the full scientific name once, you can write as <i>E. histolytica</i> in rest of the text. Same for other scientific names also.</li> </ol>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jitendra Pandey
Department, University & Country	Crimson College of Technology, Pokhara University, Nepal