

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMPS_82877
Title of the Manuscript:	Relationship between fasting blood sugar and some haematological parameters in diabetic patients attending Nigerian Navy Reference Hospital Calabar, Cross River State, Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljamps.com/index.php/JAMPS/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>The methodology of the paper must be revised. Specifically, the authors should provide more information on the sampling technique, sampling frame, sampling procedures (what method of randomization was used), did you use research assistants? who collected the blood samples? Could you also add the ethical approval number here? How do you come about the 100 subjects to be selected for this study? What is the population that visits the diabetic clinic on its days?</p> <p>There were many tables/figures in the result section which do not have much relevance to the objective of the study- authors should consider merging some or deleting them entirely.</p> <p>The discussion section needs to be improved- there should not be repetition of the results but thorough analysis of the results and state what new knowledge it brings to scientific literature of diabetes management.</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<p>Are the control healthy subjects? Non-diabetics are not the same as healthy subjects. A definition of the control group should be given. How were the non-diabetics selected in this study? What was the rationale for the choice of haematological parameters used in this study?</p>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

[Review Form 1.6](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Paul Eme</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Nigeria, Nigeria</b>