

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMPS_81808
Title of the Manuscript:	Chemical Composition, Antioxidative and Anti-hyperglycemic Activity of Combined Seed Extracts of <i>Xylopia aethiopica</i> and <i>Trichilia emetica</i> in Alloxan Induced Diabetic Wistar Rats.
Type of the Article	Data Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljamps.com/index.php/JAMPS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The combined dose should be multiple. 2. Legend is not written correctly. No SD or SEM is presented (numeric value) 3. For dose selection, references for the previous study from a good journal are recommended. 4. A toxicity test is not done. 5. Too raw to publish 6. Only the positive error bar is okay no need to show both positive and negative error bars. 7. There is no significance * mark in the graph with <i>p</i> values. 8. No conclusion, funding sources, animal collection sources, animal ethical approval documents and number, author contribution, no herbarium number references, 9. The author should design four weeks/6 weeks or eight weeks treatment protocol with multiple doses to observe the effect. 10. I am not sure why they combined two plants and used only one dose in two extraction processes. It will not bring any significant results. 	
Minor REVISION comments	Very poor English	
Optional/General comments	Due to lack of data, sound design experiments, and poorest presentation.	

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> Yes, Animal ethical approval need to be included	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mst Marium Begum
Department, University & Country	University of Texas health Science Center at San Antonio, United States