

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMPS_81284
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparative Analysis of in vitro Quality parameters of Different Brands of Marketed Ciprofloxacin Tablets Available in Bangladesh
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljamps.com/index.php/JAMPS/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The appropriate quality measurements for the testing of a drug are used in the study. Methods, discussion and the results are relevant for the study. The introduction and conclusion is also appropriate.</p> <p>Following some points are missing in the study:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The review of literature 2. Study period 3. Aim/objective of the study 4. International standards for each of the quality measurements <p>SUGGESTIONS TO AUTHOR: Author should include above mentioned points to make the study for impactful and comprehensive. Sub points given in the abstract are not required, it should be in a single paragraph with brief of your study. The objective/objectives of the study should be appear as a separate point just after the review of the literature.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>The utility and need of the study for the society and medicines sector should be included in the introduction of the study. Specific brand names are not disclosed in the study, but the reason for the same is not provided in the study. Figure 3 (Dissolution profile of five brands of ciprofloxacin 500mg tablet) is not clear as other figures are.</p> <p>SUGGESTIONS TO AUTHOR: Author should explain the utility of the study and the disclosure of the brands. If the disclosure of the brand names is not possible, the reason for the same is to be mentioned in the study. Figure 3 should be more clear.</p>	
Optional/General comments	<p>Overall it's an informative and significant research study in the field of medicines quality.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.6

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Vinod Kumar Adwani
Department, University & Country	The Bhopal School of Social Sciences, India