

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMPS_81284
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparative Analysis of in vitro Quality parameters of Different Brands of Marketed Ciprofloxacin Tablets Available in Bangladesh
Type of the Article	Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljamps.com/index.php/JAMPS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. It will be better to mention the brands of the instruments used evaluation, which will improve the quality of the work done.2. In results & discussion, procedure & introduction part were described. Author can add them in methodology part and can discuss only about the results in the "results & discussion".3. In disintegration time result, Brand B has the highest value, but author has mentioned as brand A.4. In assay, Brand A has the lowest but value was not matching with the given value & value in the table.	
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. The author has followed USP, BP & USP-NF throughout the article. It is better to follow one Pharmacopoeia throughout the work.2. Author can also add organoleptic characters (colour & odour) of the brands since different brands are used for the work.	
Optional/General comments	Overall, more focus can be given in the results & discussion part. The research work was about comparing the evaluation part of different brands, but discussion part was not correlating with that. Only values of the results are mentioned.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Review Form 1.6

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

Reviewer Details:

Name:	S. Revathi
Department, University & Country	"The Tamilnadu Dr. MGR Medical University, India