

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMPS_81284
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparative Analysis of in vitro Quality parameters of Different Brands of Marketed Ciprofloxacin Tablets Available in Bangladesh
Type of the Article	

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljamps.com/index.php/JAMPS/editorial-policy> )

## Review Form 1.6

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>1. In Abstract you are saying you have taken 6 brands . But in methodology you are going through 5 brands</p> <p>Abstract: The <b>six brands' assay</b> was within the specified limit, indicating that they met the requirements .</p> <p>Methodology: Some in-vitro quality control parameters, including weight variation, hardness, thickness, friability, disintegration time, dissolution profile and assay, were studied for comparing <b>five commercial brands</b> of ciprofloxacin 500 mg tablets available in the Bangladesh's market</p> <p><b>2. In table 2 pl correct brand code name</b></p> <p>3. Hardness and thickness test Brand B had the highest hardness <b>value (19.26±2.20 kgF)</b></p> <p>This Value is different from table value, pl keep the same value, which is correct and true</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<p>Abstract contains Weight variation, hardness, friability, disintegration time, <b>dissolving</b> profile, and other , it will provide <b>postmarked product</b> quality information on the examined brands, reflecting the necessity for more field monitoring efforts to combat against the global threat of low quality drugs as well as the concomitant risks of antibiotic resistance.</p> <p>3.3.6 Disintegration test A motor-driven mechanism kept the temperature <b>at 37.2 °C</b> while...</p> <p>3.1 Hardness and thickness test The results of the hardness test are exhibited in <b>Table 1. Pl correct it.</b></p>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Accept after suggested corrections.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

## Review Form 1.6

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>Anju Goyal</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Bhupal Nobels' University, India</b>