

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMPS_76339
Title of the Manuscript:	In-vivo antiplasmodial effect of dihydroartemisinin-piperazine-nitrofurantoin on Plasmodium berghei- infected mice
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p><b>Methodology</b></p> <p><b>Curative Activity:</b> You made smears for just one day on day 5. You were supposed to make smears everyday 72 hour post-inoculation from Day 0 to Day 5, to monitor the decrease or increase in the parasitaemia while administering the compounds. The aim of curative study is for the compound to clear the parasite. If the compound cannot clear the parasite in the mice then it is not efficacious.</p> <p><b>Prophylactic Activity:</b> After inoculation the mice were supposed to be left for 72 hours and not 24 hours before making smears.</p> <p>Result of the prophylactic activity showed that the parasitaemia after 24 hours post inoculation is 20%. This is not likely to be correct for the parasitaemia to be this high within a day. Please you need to check this out.</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<p>The methodology in both Curative and prophylactics are not well defined. The Author should correct them.</p>	

[Review Form 1.6](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b><i>Aina, Oluwabemiga. Olanrewaju</i></b>
Department, University & Country	<b><i>Nigerian Institute of Medical Research, Nigeria</i></b>