

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_89624
Title of the Manuscript:	A COMPARATIVE STUDY OF THE PERFORMANCE OF TYPHOID DIAGNOSTIC TESTS AMONG SUSPECTED PATIENTS AT THE UNIVERSITY OF CAPE COAST HOSPITAL, GHANA
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Author compare rapid card for diagnosis but not compare sensitivity & specificity, how can say rapid cards are good for testing even PCR and blood culture failed to detect.2. Check the cross reactive of rapid card in acute febrile illness. Frequently false positivity is common3. Literature of Rapid card indicate the procedure and interpretation read carefully and follow4. Add procedure of DNA/RNA extraction as per kit used5. Diagnosis based on rapid card is not satisfactory. In this study rapid card is able to detect infection even gold standard method failed as well as PCR also. This is main issue in this study.6. If other species of salmonella were detected by PCR put graph in manuscript.	
Minor REVISION comments	Sample size calculated 384 but in result there are 400 samples mention why? Result should be explain properly. Language should be self-explanatory	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Praveen Kumar Gautam
Department, University & Country	NIMS University, India