

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_89377
Title of the Manuscript:	Hang-Back Technique versus Conventional Lateral Rectus Recession in Exotropia
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. This should not be included in abstract. It should be removed. 2. Let it be in the past tense, add "d" to the verb, "investigate" 3. Add "respectively" before the full stop <p>NOTE: (i) The next sub-topic after abstract should be the keywords.</p> <p>(ii) Next is the introduction</p> <p>(iii) Followed by others such as: method, result and the conclusion should come at the end of the work.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 4. The sentence should be reconstructed as: Exo-deviation is a combination of two words, "exo" and "deviation". Exo, therefore, means to (move out of) or divergent squint. 5. There should be a full stop after the word, "squint". 6. A comma is needed here. 7. A comma is need after muscle. 8. "T" of "this" should begin in the upper case. 9. Add letter "s" 10. Each of the words should be capitalized 11. Apply full stop. 12. Start with capital letter "A". 13. Plural "were" should be used instead of the singular verb "was". 14. The sentence should have been: This includes the full detailed history such: 15. Use hyphen instead of a colon. 16. Use semicolon here. 17. Use semicolon here. 18. Use semicolon here. 19. Use comma here. 20. Use the preposition "at" instead of "where". 21. Us the conjunction, "and" instead of the colon. 22. Write the sentence as: Using reflection produced by pen light on the back of the cornea is important at this point. (Don't write in phrase) 23. Add "which" between reflex and corresponds. 24. It should in in bold 25. Move this down, being a new sub-topic 26. Remove the article "the" 27. Move this down, being a new sub-topic 28. The sentence should be constructed like this: These are ductions and versions²⁷ evaluated in the 6 cardinal directions of gaze and detection of any A or V patterns. Secondly, what do you mean by "dunctions?" 29. The sentence should be constructed like this: This is done to every case to exclude retinoblastoma, congenital cataract, and any other causes of sensory strabismus. 30. It should be full stop and not comma. 31. "whose" and not "who" 32. It should be in the lower case. 33. It should be in the lower case. 34. "T" of "this" should begin in the upper case 35. "of" should be used instead of "from" 36. A comma should be placed after "knots". 37. Use "was" 38. Use "was" 39. As 38 40. were 41. As 38 42. The statement is wrong. Please recast 43. The statement is wrong. Please recast. 44. Statement is wrong. Please recast 45. Showed instead of shows 46. Insert "which are:" after "study". 	

Review Form 1.6

	<p>47. Studied instead of study 48. As 47 above 49. As 45 above 50. As 45 51. As 45 52. As 47 53. As 47 54. As 47 55. affected 56. Remove the comma 57. Apply full stop 58. The first letter should be written in the upper case 59. It should be written as "Edelman, et al" because it in an in-text quotation. 60. were 61. were 62. are 63. It should start like this: In a study conducted by Oriol Spierer..... 64. Comma should be used after "esotropia" instead of full stop. 65. were 66. Remove the verb, "were" completely. 67. Comma should applied after the word, "hand" 68. evaluated 69. A colon (;) after "esotropia" is important here because the sentence is too long. 70. was 71. A full stop is needed and not a comma 72. was 73. "was" should be used here 74. Full stop 75. Use comma 76. had 77. use comma 78. use comma 79. The first letter of article "the" to start with a small letter. 80. patients 81. their 82. As 81 above 83. were 84. As 83 above 85. was</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	Let the author review the changes before publication.	
Optional/General comments	The paper is worth publishing if the author takes care of the grammatical errors highlighted above.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.6

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Martins Segun Jayeoba
Department, University & Country	Ekiti State Teaching Service Commission, Nigeria