

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_89317
Title of the Manuscript:	The Effect of Carbetocin versus Misoprostol versus Ergometrine for Controlling Bleeding after Cesarean Section in Primigravidae
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	This manuscript is incomplete. To be complete, author(s) should: 1. Change first sentence in Introduction. First sentence in Introduction is confusing. Author(s) should write it: "Cesarean delivery is one of the most frequently performed major surgical procedures worldwide in obstetrics". 2. Edit Conclusions. This is only one Conclusion. But title of the paragraph is "Conclusions". Author(s) obviously have more than one Conclusion and they should add it.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Siniša Franjić
Department, University & Country	Croatia