

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_88714
Title of the Manuscript:	LACK OF ASSOCIATION BETWEEN CHOLELITHIASIS AND SIGNIFICANT CORONARY ARTERY DISEASE: AN AUTOPSY STUDY FROM GREECE
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	This paper is interesting. Through autopsy research, the relationship between cholelithiasis and coronary artery disease was studied with a difference from previous studies. The paper is well described as a whole. However, it requires several modifications and supplementations as follows. 1. Abstract : 1) I think it would be good to add the number of samples of autopsy. 2) "Our sample consisted of 1,699 cases." I think it's better to transfer this part of the results to method..3) In conclusion, please add what contribution authors will make in the future with this paper 4) Key words : In the key words, it would be better to add 'Autopsy study' as a subject as suggested in the title 2. Results : Please correct the spacing of the words for 'Heavyalcohol use' in Table 1. 3. Discussion : Please add the significance and limitations of this paper. 4. Conclusion : Please describe how this study will contribute in the future.	I think it's better to move on to research
Minor REVISION comments	Please review the paper as a whole and correct the spacing.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Seong-Ran Lee
Department, University & Country	Kongju National University, Korea