

Review Form 1.6

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name: | Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_JAMMR_87812 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Verification of Fascial Fissure Direction at the Port-site: the Search for a Reliable Closure Method |
| Type of the Article | Original Research Article |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|-------------------------------------|--|---|
| Compulsory REVISION comments | <p>The topic of the manuscript does not tally with the manuscript.</p> <p>There is nothing in the manuscript that describe the method of verification of fascial fissure.</p> <p>What is fascial fissure and what cause fascial fissure during laparoscopic surgery?</p> <p>Does the extent of fascial fissure correspond to the size of the port on the skin?</p> <p>Which kind of procedure are more predisposed to fascial fissure?</p> <p>Is fascial fissure commoner at the telescope port or the instrument port?</p> <p>Is there need to repair the fascia even when there is no fissure?</p> <p>At what dimension of the fissure do you indication for repair?</p> <p>Was your method of closure compared with the previous method and what is the failure rate?</p> | |
| Minor REVISION comments | The tables should be within the context of the result, so it can be better understood | |
| Optional/General comments | Good manuscript but need few corrections to bring out the lessons. | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p> <p>I hope the author(s) are not promoting the device mentioned. The colleagues should the claims of the authors, that the outcome will be to benefits of the patients</p> | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Name: | Oluwafemi Olasupo Awe |
| Department, University & Country | Ambrose Alli University, Nigeria |