

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_87806
Title of the Manuscript:	Impact of Chronobiological Factors on Chromosomal Anomalies Rates - Ethical Consideration
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Chronobiological or cosmobiological? Correct Spellings: e.g. prenataly We are looking for selection bias which might compromise validity of the findings Please address following questions- • are the cases defined precisely • were the cases representative of a defined population (geographically and/or temporally) • was there an established reliable system for selecting all the cases • How were the cases diagnosed, is the time frame of the study relevant to disease/exposure • was there a sufficient number of cases selected • was there a power calculation for sample size? We are looking for measurement, recall or classification bias •was the exposure clearly defined and accurately measured • did the authors use subjective or objective measurements • do the measures truly reflect what they are supposed to measure (have they been validated) • were the measurement methods similar in the cases and controls • is the temporal relation correct (does the exposure of interest precede the outcome) Statistical analysis should include: Odds Ratio (OR) and 95% confidence interval (CI)	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Amol Kalyanrao Joshi
Department, University & Country	Government Medical College, India