

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_87582
Title of the Manuscript:	ANTIBACTERIAL EFFICACY OF DIFFERENT INTRACANAL IRRIGANTS ON ROOT CANAL TREATMENT: An In-Vitro study
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The article abstract does not adequately represent the article. There are problems with the English language as well as the way of communicating. Article sections are not properly organized.	
Minor REVISION comments	The method section does not present data regarding statistical analysis. The results are little explored and a more objective conclusion by the author is lacking. The discussion of the article is not objective, and it remains to compare the results of the study with literature results more critically.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Aline Porciúncula Frenzel
Department, University & Country	Catholic University of Pelotas, Brazil