

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_86921
Title of the Manuscript:	Efficacy of Hysteroscopy in Management of Premenopausal Uterine Bleeding at Tanta University Hospitals
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Interpretation of the tables need to written under each table	
Minor REVISION comments	In discussion –first paragraph can be included in introduction section Grammatical mistakes can be over looked	
Optional/General comments	In statistical method 'The frequency and percentage values for qualitative variables were used (%)' have no meaning. Because no qualitative data were obtained from the subjects. Frequencies are qualitative variable. Limitations of the study and recommendations were not mentioned. Discussion section need more clarity in writing.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u> Ethical committe approval letter No can be mentioned	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	S.K.Mohanasundari
Department, University & Country	AIIMS, India