

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_86389
Title of the Manuscript:	REVISITING MALE STERILIZATION FOR CONTRACEPTION: WHERE DO THE WOMEN STAND
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The authors should provide statistics (data from surveys or prior studies), for the failure of male condoms in the introduction/ lit review section. 2. In the methodology section, nowhere it is mentioned that consent was sought from the respondents. Provide a few clear statements regarding the consent (oral or written) sought from the respondents and the confidentiality of the data as well their privacy. 3. Write results in the past tense. 4. Title of all the tables seems to be incomplete, they should be rechecked. Include the data set and country in the title as well as the tables should be self-explanatory. 5. The text of the tables should be made 'sentence case' rather than Upper case', except wherever necessary. 6. Provide one or two sentences regarding the limitations of the study. 7. Recommendations should be more than just about the counselling programs. 8. There are several grammatical mistakes throughout the manuscript. The write-up of the manuscript should be checked again with the help of software like Grammarly before resubmission. 	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Himani Sharma
Department, University & Country	International Institute for Population Sciences, India