PHENOTYPIC DETECTION OF EXTENDED-SPECTRUM BETA-LACTAMASE-PRODUCING *ESCHERICHIA COLI* AND *KLEBSIELLA PNEUMONIAE* ISOLATED FROM HOSPITAL AND ENVIRONMENTAL SOURCES IN ENUGU METROPOLIS, NIGERIA

ABSTRACT

Background: Extended -Spectrum Beta- Lactamases (ESBLs) are enzymes that confer ~~give~~ resistance to a wide range of β-lactam antibiotics, including penicillins, third-generation cephalosporins, and aztreonam, but not to cephamycins or carbapenems, and are blocked by beta-lactamase inhibitors. **Aim:** To evaluate the antimicrobial susceptibility profiles of *Escherichia coli* and *Klebsiella pneumoniae* and to determine the prevalence of ESBL-producing *Escherichia coli* and *Klebsiella pneumoniae* isolates from the hospital and environmental samples. **Methodology:** The study was conducted from October 2020 to June 2021 in the Microbiological Laboratory of the University of Nigeria Teaching Hospital Ituku-Ozalla, Enugu. A total of 150 non-duplicate bacterial isolates were recovered from urine, wound swab, high vaginal swab, stool, sputum, and environmental sources. Isolates were identified and characterized using standard microbiological protocols. Antimicrobial susceptibility was performed using the Kirby-Bauer disc diffusion procedure. Phenotypic detection of ESBL production was determined using Double Disc Synergy Test. **Results:** *E. coli* isolates from hospital samples were highly resistant to cefuroxime (100 %), cefixime (100 %) augmentin (100%), ciprofloxacin (91%), and cefotaxime(86.6%). However, nitrofurantoin and imipenem were highly potent 80.6 % and 76.1% respectively. Among the 67 strains of *E. coli* from hospital samples, 32(47.8%) were found to be ESBL producers. Of the 60 *Klebsiella pneumoniae* hospital isolates tested, 27(45%) were found to be ESBL-producers. Of the 18 strains of *E. coli* from environmental isolates, 12(66.7%) were found to be ESBL producers. Out of only five *Klebsiella pneumoniae* from environmental samples tested, 4(80%) were found to be ESBL producers. A total prevalence of 75(50%) ESBL producers from the 150 isolates were found. **Conclusion:** The findings of this study showed an alarming rate of 50% ESBL-producing *E. coli* and *Klebsiella pneumoniae* in Enugu Metropolis, Nigeria with a high antimicrobial resistance in both ESBL and non-ESBL-producing isolates.

Keywords: Antibiotic resistance, ESBL, Klebsiella pneumoniae, Escherichia coli, phenotypic method

1. INTRODUCTION

Broad-spectrum antibiotics with a β-lactam ring in their basic molecular structure are known as beta-lactams. They are one of the most often recommended antimicrobials for bacterial infections globally [1, 2]. They are the most extensively used antibacterial agents due to their cost-effectiveness, convenience of use, and tolerability. The efficiency of these antibiotics has been reduced as a result of indiscriminate use, which has resulted in the development of resistant mechanisms in certain species of bacteria [3]. The production of β-lactamases is the most common strategy used by bacteria to resist the effects of antimicrobial drugs [4]. Repeated exposure of bacterial strains to a wide range of β-lactam antibiotics has resulted in the emergence and mutation of the genes coding for β-lactamases in these bacteria, which has increased their activity against newly found β-lactam antibiotics [1, 2].

Extended Spectrum Beta Lactamases (ESBLs) are enzymes that give resistance to a wide range of β-lactam antibiotics, including penicillins, third-generation cephalosporins, and aztreonam, but not to

cephamycins or carbapenems, and are blocked by beta-lactamase inhibitors. These enzymes are inhibited by beta-lactam inhibitors including clavulanic acid, sulbactam, and tazobactam [2]. ESBLs are the main mechanisms of acquired resistance in Gram-negative organisms with *Klebsiella pneumoniae* and *Escherichia coli* being, the predominant ESBL-producing isolates [5].

Infections caused by ESBL-producing pathogens are especially challenging because they typically co-resist other antimicrobial classes, limiting ~~reducing~~ the antibiotic options available for treatment [6]. Current surgery, instrumentation, a long hospital stay, nosocomial transmission of ESBL-producing organisms by hospital employees, and drug exposure, especially extended-spectrum beta-lactam antibiotics, are all known risk factors for ESBL-producing bacterium infection [7]. The plasmid-encoded extended-spectrum beta-lactamases (ESBLs) are easily transmitted from one bacteria to another by horizontal gene transfer [6]. As a result, most ESBL isolates are resistant to antimicrobials other than beta-lactams, such as aminoglycosides, fluoroquinolones, tetracyclines, and nitrofurans (e.g. nitrofurantoin) and trimethoprim/sulphamethoxazole. It has proven extremely challenging to manage these multidrug-resistant infections [8]. These resistant strains place a huge burden on society, such as ~~. They have been linked to~~ greater mortality rates, longer hospital stays, and higher healthcare costs [6].

As a result of the rising prevalence of ESBL-producing bacteria, laboratory diagnostic approaches that can accurately and quickly detect the presence of these enzymes in clinical isolates are in high demand. The Clinical and Laboratory~~ies~~ Standard Institute (CLSI) as well as European Committee on Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing (EUCAST) proposed a two-step phenotypic strategy for finding ESBL producers, with confirmatory tests afterward. The initial screening can be done using a broth micro-dilution or a disc diffusion approach, whereas the confirmatory test depends on the addition of beta-lactamase inhibitors to increase the inhibition zone [2, 9, 10]. The number of ESBL-producing organisms is fast increasing, and they are quickly becoming a major challenge in the field of infectious disease prevention and control. Clinical microbiological laboratories in Enugu state, on the other hand, make no effort or are unsuccessful in detecting ESBL-producing organisms regularly (Refs). As a result, it is critical to routinely detect ESBL-producing organisms in the laboratory, as failure to do so may result in therapeutic failure as well as increased morbidity and death in patients infected with ESBL-producing bacteria. This work was ~~will~~ therefore designed to assess the prevalence of ESBL-producing *E. coli* and *Klebsiella pneumoniae* isolated from hospital and environmental sources in Enugu state and also assess their antimicrobial susceptibility profiles.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Study Design

The study was conducted from October 2020 to June 2021 in the Microbiological Laboratory of the University of Nigeria Teaching Hospital ,Ituku-Ozalla. A total of 150 non-duplicate bacteria were recovered from urine, wound swab, high vaginal swab, stool, sputum, and environmental sources. How many samples altogether were examined? Isolates were identified and characterized using standard microbiological protocols. Antimicrobial susceptibility was performed using the Kirby-Bauer Disc Diffusion method;~~,~~ those showing reduced susceptibility to two or three of the third generation cephalosporins were further confirmed phenotypically for ESBL-production using the Double Disk Synergy method.

2.2 Inclusion Criteria

Non-duplicate pure cultures of *E.coli* and *Klebsiella pneumoniae* were used in this work.

2.3 Exclusion Criteria

All isolates that were not confirmed as *E.coli* and *Klebsiella pneumoniae* and all duplicate cultures were excluded.

2.4 Cultivation of the Bacteria Isolates

The isolates were sub-cultured on nutrient agar to get pure culture.

2.5 Identification of Bacteria Isolates

Isolates were identified based on their gram reactions and other biochemical tests. *Escherichia coli* are gram-negative rods, indole, and methyl red positive, citrate negative, urea negative, motile, and gas and acid producers from which sugars?.

Klebsiella pneumoniae are gram-negative bacilli, indole negative, methyl red negative, VP positive, citrate positive, oxidase negative, and catalase-positive [11]. Conventional biochemical tests and API 20E confirmatory system were used to confirm the isolates. Details on culture and identification of test organisms lacking or reference to a previous study in which they were reported.

2.6 Phenotypic Detection of ESBL

ESBL testing involves two important steps. The first is a screening test with an indicator cephalosporin for detection of ~~looking out for~~ specific zone diameters to identify isolates that are likely to be harboring ESBL. The second is the confirmatory test for synergy between an oxyimino cephalosporin and clavulanate, distinguishing isolates with ESBL from those that are resistant for other reasons [2, 12].

2.7 Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing

A suspension of the tested isolates was made using a loop-full of the colony in a freshly prepared normal saline to achieve cell turbidity equivalent to 0.5 McFarland standards. The inoculums were spread ~~streaked~~ on Mueller Hinton agar plates. The antimicrobials were aseptically placed on the surface of Mueller Hinton agar using sterile forceps or Oxoid disk dispenser??~~sterile swab sticks~~. The plates were incubated at 37^{o}C for 24 hours. Antibiotics test~~us~~ed were cefuroxime (30µg), cefixime (5µg), ceftaxidime(30µg), augumentin(10µ), gentamicin(10µg), ofloxacin(5µg), ciprofloxacin(5µg), nitrofurantion(300µg), cefotaxime(30µg), cefoxitin(30µg) and imipenem(10µg). The inhibitory zone diameters were~~as~~ measured across the disc and the results were evaluated using C~~c~~linical and Laboratory Standard Institute guidelines [12].

The isolates that were resistant to any of the third-generation cephalosporins were then confirmed for ESBL production using the double-disc synergy test method.

2.8 Double Disc Synergy Test (DDST)

A suspension of suspected ESBL-producing *E. coli* and *Klebsiella pneumoniae* isolates was adjusted to the 0.5 McFarland turbidity standards and aseptically inoculated on Mueller-Hinton agar (Oxoid, UK) plates using sterile swab sticks. With the help of a template, a combination disc of amoxicillin-clavulanic acid, AMC (20/10µg) was placed at the center of the plate, and cefotaxime (30µg), ceftriaxone (30µg), and ceftazidime (30µg) were placed on either side of the central disc (AMC-20/10µg) at a distance of 15mm apart. At 37°C, the plates were incubated for 18 to 24 hours. After incubation, an increase in the

zone of inhibition for either of the cephalosporins (CEF and CTX) towards the centrally placed AMC (20/10µg), phenotypically confirms ESBL production in the tested isolate (2, 12).

2.9 Statistical Analysis

SPSS for Windows version 22 was used for all statistical analyses (SPSS, Chicago, IL, USA). Categorical variables were described using descriptive statistics (frequencies and percentages). At a 95 % confidence interval, one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) and Student t-test were employed to compare mean differences between and among groups. P-value ≤ 0.05 is considered statistically significant.

3. RESULTS

Of the 150 isolates collected, 65(43.3%) were *Klebsiella pneumoniae* and 85(56.7%) were *E. coli*. Figures 1 and 2 show the frequency distribution of *Escherichia coli* and *Klebsiella pneumoniae* isolated from different samples. Urine samples yielded the highest number of *Escherichia coli* and *Klebsiella pneumoniae* with a total of 34 (40%) isolates and 35 (53.5%) isolates respectively. While stool sample yielded the lowest number of *E. coli* isolates, environmental isolates yielded the lowest number of *Klebsiella pneumoniae*.



Figure 1: Percentage distribution of *Escherichia coli* examined



Figure 2: Percentage Source distribution of *Klebsiella pneumoniae*

Table 1 shows the antibiogram of *Escherichia coli* isolates from the hospital and environmental samples. *Escherichia coli* isolates from hospital samples were all ~~completely~~ (100%) resistant to Cefixime, cefuroxime, and Augmentin. Reduced frequencies of susceptibility of these isolates was also recorded against Ceftazidime (16.4%), Ciprofloxacin (9.0%), Cefotaxime (13.4%), and gentami~~y~~cin (29.9%). There was high susceptibility of the organisms to cefoxitin, imipenem, and nitrofurantoin, 70.1%, 76.1%, and 80.6% respectively. Statistically, the chi-square test revealed a significantly higher proportion of resistant isolates than sensitive isolates of hospital *Escherichia coli* to the tested antibiotics (p<0.05). All *Escherichia coli* isolates from environmental samples were ~~completely~~ (100%) resistant to Cefixime, Ceftazidime, cefuroxime, Augmentin, and Cefotaxime. Reduced susceptibility of these isolates was also recorded against ciprofloxacin (22.2%). Statistically, the chi-square test revealed a significantly higher proportion of resistant isolates than sensitive isolates on environmental *Escherichia coli* to the tested antibiotics (p<0.05, X^2=93.23). Note that you are determining the frequencies of susceptibilities and resistance among isolates to antimicrobials!!!

Table 1: Antibiogram of *Escherichia coli* isolates from the hospital and environmental samples

These are not antibiograms, but percentage susceptibilities and resistance!!

Antibiotics	HOSPITAL SAMPLE (n=67)	ENVIROMENTAL SAMPLES (n=18)

	SUSCEPTIBLE	RESISTANT	SUSCEPTIBLE	RESISTANT
Cefixime	0 (0%)	67 (100%)	0 (0%)	18 (100%)
Ceftazidime	11 (16.4%)	56 (83.4%)	0 (0%)	18 (100%)
Cefuroxime	0 (0%)	67 (100%)	0 (0%)	18 (100%)
Cefoxitin	47 (70.1%)	20 (29.9%)	12 (66.7%)	6 (33.3%)
Ofloxaxin	11 (16.4%)	56 (83.3%)	12 (66.7%)	6 (33.3%)
Augumentin	0 (0%)	67 (100%)	0 (0%)	18 (100%)
Ciprofloxacin	6 (9.0%)	61 (91%)	4 (22.2%)	14 (77.8%)
Cefotaxime	9 (13.4%)	58 (86.6%)	0 (0%)	18 (100%)
Gentamicine	20 (29.9%)	47 (70.1%)	12 (66.7%)	6 (33.3%)
Nitrofuratnoin	54 (80.6%)	13 (19.4%)	14 (77.8%)	4 (22.2%)
Imipenem	51 (76.1%)	16 (23.9%)	12 (66.7%)	6 (33.3%)
		P<0.0007*	*P*<0.0001*	

Table 2 shows the antibiogram of *Klebsiella pneumoniae* isolates from the hospital and environmental samples. *Klebsiella pneumoniae* isolates from hospital samples were completely (100%) resistant to Augmentin. Reduced susceptibility of these isolates was also recorded against Cefixime (16.7%), Ceftazidime (13.3%), Cefuroxime (10%), Cefotaxime (10%), Ofloxacin (23.3%), Ciprofloxacin (25%), and Nitrofurantoin (23.3%). The isolates showed moderate susceptibility to Gentamicin (36.7%) and Cefoxitine (35%) and with high susceptibility to Imipenem (75%). Statistically, the chi-square test revealed a significantly higher proportion of resistant isolates than sensitive isolates of hospital *Klebsiella pneumoniae* isolates to the tested antibiotics (p<0.05, X^2=128.3). For environmental samples, *Klebsiella pneumoniae* isolates from environmental samples were completely (100%) resistant to Cefixime, Ceftazidime, cefuroxime, Augmentin, and Nitrofurantoin. Moderate susceptibility of these isolates was also recorded against Cefoxitin (40%) and Cefotaxime (40%). Ofloxacin and Gentamicin were highly potent (100%). Imipenem had 60% susceptibility. Statistically, the chi-square test revealed a significantly higher proportion of resistant isolates than sensitive isolates of environmental *Klebsiella pneumoniae* to the tested antibiotics (p<0.05, X^2=34.26)

Table 2: Antibiogram of isolated *Klebsiella pneumoniae* isolates from the hospital and environmental samples (see comments above)

Antibiotics	**HOSPITAL SAMPLE (n=60)**	**ENVIROMENTAL samples (n=5)**

	SUSCEPTIBLE	RESISTANT	SUSCEPTIBLE	RESISTANT
Cefixime	10 (16.7%)	50 (83.3%)	0 (0%)	5 (100%)
Ceftazidime	8 (13.3%)	52 (86.7%)	0 (0%)	5 (100%)
Cefuroxime	6 (10%)	54 (90%)	0 (0%)	5 (100%)
Cefoxitin	21 (35%)	39 (65%)	2 (40%)	3 (60%)
Ofloxaxin	14 (23.3%)	46 (76.7%)	5 (100%)	0 (0%)
Augumentin	0 (0%)	60 (100%)	0 (0%)	5 (100%)
Ciprofloxacin	15 (25%)	45 (75%)	3 (60%)	2 (40%)
Cefotaxime	6 (10%)	54 (90%)	2 (40%)	3 (60%)
Gentamicine	22 (36.7%)	38 (63.3%)	5 (100%)	0 (0%)
Nitrofurantoin	14 (23.3%)	46 (76.7%)	0 (0%)	5 (100%)
Imipenem	45 (75%)	15 (25%)	3 (60%)	2 (40%)
	P<0.0007* X^2=128.3		P=0.0002* X^2=34.26	

Figure 3 shows that out of the 67 isolates of *Escherichia coli* from hospital samples tested for phenotypic detection of ESBL, only 32 (47.8%) were confirmed to produce ESBL while 35 (52.2%) were confirmed to be ESBL non-producers. Out of the 18 isolates of *Escherichia coli* from environmental samples tested for phenotypic detection of ESBL, only 12 (66.7%) were confirmed to produce ESBL while 6 (33.3%) were confirmed to be ESBL non-producers. Statistically, there was no significant difference in the proportion of ESBL producers and non-producers in hospital and environmental isolates of *Escherichia coli* ($p>0.05$, $X^2=1.195$).



Figure 3: Distribution of ESBL producers and non-ESBL producers among *Escherichia coli* isolates

Fig 4 shows that of the 60 isolates of *Klebsiella pneumoniae* from hospital samples tested for phenotypic detection of ESBL, only 27 (45%) were confirmed to produce ESBL while 33 (55%) were confirmed to be ESBL non-producers. Out of the 5 isolates of *Klebsiella pneumoniae* from environmental samples tested for phenotypic detection of ESBL, 4 (80%) were confirmed to produce ESBL while 1 (20%) was confirmed to be ESBL non-producer. Statistically, there was no significant difference in the proportion of ESBL producers and non-producers in hospital and environmental isolates of *Klebsiella pneumoniae* ($p>0.05$, $X^2=2.266$).



Figure 4: Distribution of ESBL producers and non-ESBL producers among *Klebsiella pneumoniae* isolates

Fig 5a and 5b show the results of the positive and negative Double Disc Synergy tests of *Klebsiella pneumoniae*



Fig 5a: Double Disc Synergy test plate: ESBL positive *Klebsiella pneumoniae* isolate



Fig 5b: Double Disc Synergy test plate: An ESBL negative isolate

Plates not clearly labeled

3. DISCUSSION

Microbial resistance mediated by extended-spectrum beta-lactamase (ESBL) has arisen worldwide, and ESBL-producing Enterobacteriaceae are now regarded as significant nosocomial infections [13]. Most beta-lactam antibiotics, such as penicillin, cephalosporins, and monobactam, are rendered ineffective by extended-spectrum beta-lactamase (ESBL). Infections with bacteria that produce ESBL have been linked to poor clinical ~~bad~~ outcomes. Antimicrobial resistance jeopardizes the effective prevention and treatment of a growing number of diseases caused by bacteria, as well as lengthening hospital stays and increasing the economic strain [14]. Treatment failures ~~haves~~ resulted from the failure to detect ESBL-mediated resistance, which has contributed to the uncontrolled proliferation of ESBL-producing microbes. Surveillance cultures, on the other hand, have proven effective in controlling and terminating nosocomial epidemics by detecting patients infected or colonized by ESBL-producing organisms in the laboratory [13]. The high prevalence of ESBL isolates of *E. coli* and *Klebsiella pneumoniae* are not only seen in hospital isolates, but also seen in isolates from environmental sources. Increased resistance to broad-spectrum cephalosporins in *E.coli* and *Klebsiella* species has been documented in numerous countries, largely due to the production of ESBLs [15, 16]. This is more like literature review again and not integrated into any form of discuission. A total of 150 isolates comprising 85 *E. coli* and 65 *Klebsiella pneumoniae* were tested for ESBL production. The overall prevalence of ESBL-producers in our study was 75/150(50.0 %). The ~~Our~~ results of this study showed a comparatively higher prevalence level of ESBL producers in our environment and this could be attributed to the fact that the bacterial organisms have been progressively acquiring resistance to several antimicrobial agents used for the treatment of infections (speculative). Moreover, in developing countries, self-medication, easy access to pharmaceuticals from pharmacies, their usage without a doctor's prescription, and gaps in drug policy standards may be major contributors to antimicrobial resistance [15].

Our result (of what? Always be specific about what aspect of results you are referring to) is higher than the prevalence rate?? reported in an earlier study (35%) in the same hospital in 2019 [6]. The rise could be attributed to patients' uncontrolled antibiotic use, particularly beta-lactam drugs, as well as poor infection control techniques [6]. Our finding does not align with what was reported in works done in Saudi Arabia (27 %), (40.3 %) in Nepal, 41.07% in India, 44% in Cambodia, 41.4% in Turkey [17, 18, 19 20, 21] in what sense?. Nevertheless, the ~~our~~ 50% prevalence of ESBL producers? is lower than what was reported in Enugu State University Teaching Hospital, Enugu 59.4%, in Bauchi 82.3%, Anambra 61%, and Sokoto 100% all in Nigeria [5, 8, 22, 23]. However, as documented elsewhere, the frequencies of ESBL in developed countries are quite low [24, 25]. This is consistent with the fact that rates vary widely across the countrywhich country? and even within the same institution over time [6]. The explanation for the differences in ESBL-producing bacteria between studies could be due to local antibiotic prescribing patterns, widespread use of broad-spectrum antibiotics, especially third-generation cephalosporins, and the endemicity of drug-resistant infections in the area [18].

In this research, the distribution of antibiotic resistance to -lactams was comparable to that reported by Iroha et al [5], with nearly all the isolates being resistant to the beta-lactam antibiotics. In clinical isolates ~~samples~~ of *E. coli*, nitrofurantoin, a bacteriostatic drug, showed a favorable susceptibility profile against both ESBL and non-ESBL isolates. However, because of its toxicity, it is mostly used to treat urinary tract infections and under specified conditions [26]. Many of the isolates showed decreased susceptibility to imipenem, 67.9% for *K. pneumoniae* and 71% for *E. coli*. Our results of antibiotic susceptibility pattern? of imipenem is consistent with the previous studies of Motayo *et al*., who reported 62.5% for *E. coli* and 60% for *K. pneumonia* [26]. Iliyasu *et al*, also reported higher susceptibility (80.8%) to imipenem [8]. In the

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Font: Italic, Highlight

Formatted: Highlight

treatment of multidrug-resistant *E. coli* and *Klebsiella pneumoniae* infections, imipenem remains the drug of choice. Although our research demonstrates a growing threat of up to 30.5 percent carbapenem resistance, routine antibiotic drug resistance surveillance must be prioritized [26]. It should be emphasized, however, that unrestricted use of a drug may increase antibiotic resistance. Antibiotic treatment options are significantly hampered as a result of resistance to routinely used antibiotics, leaving only a few reserve antimicrobials available.

4. CONCLUSION

The findings of this study showed an alarming rate of 50% ESBL-producing *E. coli* and *Klebsiella pneumoniae* in Enugu Metropolis, Nigeria with a high antimicrobial resistance in both ESBL and non-ESBL-producing isolates.

To ensure quality health care and proper antibiotic administration (proper infection treatment and control) in Enugu state, ESBL phenotypic detection should be incorporated into antimicrobial susceptibility testing. To reduce the spread of ESBL-producing bacteria, it is imperative to develop suitable community and hospital antibiotic policies.

COMPETING INTERESTS DISCLAIMER:

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist. The products used for this research are commonly and predominantly use products in our area of research and country. There is absolutely no conflict of interest between the authors and producers of the products because we do not intend to use these products as an avenue for any litigation but for the advancement of knowledge. Also, the research was not funded by the producing company rather it was funded by personal efforts of the authors.

REFERENCES

1. Shaikh S, Fatima J, Shakil S, Mohd S, Rizvi D, Amjad MK. Antibiotic resistance and extended-spectrum beta-lactamases: Types, epidemiology, and treatment. *Saudi J Biol Sci.* 2015; 22(1): 90-101.
2. Salihu MK, Yarima A, Atta HI. Methods for the phenotypic detection of extended-spectrum beta-lactamase (ESBL) producing bacteria. *Nigeria Journal of Biotechnology*. 2020; 37(2), 113-125.
3. World Health Organization. Antimicrobial Resistance Global Report on Surveillance. Geneva. 2014.
4. Ximin Z, Jun L. Beta-lactamase induction and cell wall metabolism in Gram-negative bacteria. *Escherichia coli* Review article. *Front Microbiol.* 2013; 4(128)
5. Iroha IR, Okoye E, Osigwe CA, Moses IB, Ejikeukwu CP, Nwakaeze AE. Isolation, phenotypic characterization, and prevalence of ESBL-producing Escherichia coli and Klebsiella species from orthopedic wounds in national Orthopedic hospital Enugu (NOHE), southeast Nigeria. *Journal of Pharmaceutical Care & Health System*. 2017; 4:4, 2376-0419.
6. Nwafia IN, Ohanu ME, Ebede SO, Ozumba UC. Molecular detection and antibiotic resistance pattern of extended-spectrum beta-lactamase-producing *Escherichia coli* in a Tertiary Hospital in Enugu, Nigeria. *Annals of Clinical Microbiology and Antimicrobials*. 2019; 18(1), 1-7.
7. Paterson DL, Bonomo RA. Extended-spectrum β-lactamases: A clinical update. *Clinical Microbiology Reviews*. 2005; 18(4), 657-686.

8. Iliyasu MY, Uba A, Agbo EB. Phenotypic detection of multidrug-resistant extended-spectrum beta-lactamase (ESBL) producing *Escherichia coli* from clinical samples. *African Journal of Cellular Pathology*. 2018; 10(2), 2449-0776.
9. European Committee on Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing (EUCAST). Guidelines for detection of resistance mechanisms and specific resistances of clinical and/or epidemiological importance 2012.
10. Yarima A, Salihu MK, Guruma AG. Phenotypic detection of extended-spectrum beta-lactamases in and *Klebsiella pnuemoniae* isolated from patients at the Federal Teaching Hospital Gombe, Gombe State, Nigeria. *FUDMA J. Sci (FJS)* 2019; 3 (4): 434-439
11. Patel SS, Chauhan HC, Patel AC, Shrimali MD, Patel KB, Prajapati BI *et al*., Isolation and identification of *Klebsiella pneumoniae* from the sheep-case report. *International Journal of Microbiology and Applied Sci*ences. 2017; 6(5), 331-4.
12. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. Performance Standards for Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing: Twenty-fifth Informational Supplement M100-S25. Wayne, PA: Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute 2015.
13. Abdelmoktader A, Talal El Far A. Methods of ESBLs Detection in Clinical Microbiology Lab. *Viro Immunol J.* 2019; 3(4):000222
14. Omer THS, Mustafa SAM, Mohamed SOO. Extended Spectrum β-Lactamase-Mediated Resistance and Antibiogram of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* Isolates from Patients Attending Two Public Hospitals in Khartoum, Sudan. *International Journal of Microbiology*. 2020 Article ID 2313504 https://doi.org/10.1155/2020/2313504
15. Yadav K, Prakash S. Screening of ESBL Producing Multidrug-Resistant E. coli from Urinary Tract Infection Suspected Cases in Southern Terai of Nepal. *Journal of Infectious Diseases and Diagnosis*. 2017; 2:2
16. Khanfar HS, Bindayna KM, Senok AC, Botta GA. Extended-spectrum beta-lactamases (ESBL) in Escherichia coli and *Klebsiella pneumoniae*: trends in the hospital and community settings. *J. Infect Dev Ctries.* 2009; 3:295-299
17. Ibrahim ME, Abbas M, Al-Shahrai AM, Elamin BK. Phenotypic characterization and Antibiotic Resistance Pattern of Extended-Spectrum β-Lactamase- and AmpC β-Lactamase-Producing Gram-negative Bacteria in a Referral Hospital, Saudi Arabia. *Canadian Journal of Infectious Diseases and Medical Microbiology.* 2019 Article ID 6054694. https:/doi.org/10.1155/2019/6054694
18. Pandit R, Awal B, Shrestha S, Joshi G, Rijal BR, Prasad N. Extended-Spectrum *β*-Lactamase (ESBL) Genotypes among Multidrug-Resistant Uropathogenic *Escherichia coli* Clinical Isolates from a Teaching Hospital of Nepal *Interdiscip Perspect Infect Dis.* 2020; DOI: 10.1155/2020/6525826
19. Jena J, Sahoo R K, Debata N K, Subudhi E. Prevalence of TEM, SHV, and CTX-M genes of extended-spectrum beta-lactamase-producing *Escherichia coli* strains isolated from urinary tract infections in adults. *3 Biotech.* 2017; **7**(4):p. 244. DOI: 10.1007/s13205-017-0879-2.
20. Moore C E, Sona S, Poda S, et al. Antimicrobial susceptibility of uropathogens isolated from Cambodian children. *Pediatrics and International Child Health.* 2016; **36**(2):113–117.
21. Kizilca O, Siraneci R, Yilmaz A, Hatipoglu N, Ozturk E, Kiyak A, Ozkok D. Risk factors for community-acquired urinary tract infection caused by ESBL-producing bacteria in children. *Pediatr Int.* 2012; 54(6):858–862. DOI: 10.1111/j.1442-200x.2012.03709.x.

22. Ezeanya CC, Agbakoba NR, Ejike CE, Okwelogu SI. Evaluation of a chromogenic medium for the detection of ESBL with comparison to double-disk synergy test*. British Journal of Medicine & Medical Research*. 2017; 21(12), 2231-0614.
23. Nuhu T, Olayinka AT, Bolaji RO, Bemg Ong EB, Mohammed Y, Olayinka BO. Genetic relatedness in extended-spectrum beta-lactamase-producing Escherichia coli from clinical isolates using enterobacterial repetitive intergenic consensus polymerase chain reaction. *Int. J. Health Sci.* (Qassim) 2021; 15(5):18-27
24. YanY.-Z, Sun K.-D, Pan L.-H, Fan H-Q, Yang H-Z, Lu, Y-C, Shi Y. A screening strategy for phenotypic detection of carbapenemase in the clinical laboratory. *Canadian Journal of Microbiology.* 2014; **60**(4):211–215. DOI: 10.1139/cjm-2013-0692.
25. Bader MS, Loeb M, Brooks AA. An update on the management of urinary tract infections in the era of antimicrobial resistance. *Postgraduate Medicine.* 2017; **129**(2):242–258. DOI: 10.1080/00325481.2017.1246055.
26. Motayo BO, Akinduti PA, Adeyakinu FA, Okerentugba PO, Nwanze JC, Onoh CC, ... Okonko IO. Antibiogram and plasmid profiling of carbapenemase and extended-spectrum Beta-lactamase (ESBL) producing Escherichia coli and *Klebsiella pneumoniae* in Abeokuta, Southwestern, Nigeria. *African health sciences*. 2013; 13(4), 1091-1097.