

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_84715
Title of the Manuscript:	Hysteroscopic Finding in Patients with Secondary Infertility at Tanta University Hospitals
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p>If the objective of the work is the hysteroscopic findings, they must be referred to in the results of the summary Results should not be included in the discussion; they were already exposed in the results section.</p> <p>In the discussion section, so much data on numbers and percentages should not be included. should be a discussion in comparison with the most relevant and interesting results of the authors studied; so that the reader understands the meaning of the study and avoids having to make the comparisons of numbers and percentage of the cases of each author himself, which makes it tedious and loses a bit of interest in what he is reading.</p> <p>According to the conclusions, what would you recommend?</p>	
Optional/General comments	<p>In general, it is an interesting investigation that really exposes the importance of including hysteroscopy as part of the routine diagnosis of cases of secondary infertility, since it is a procedure that, in turn, is diagnostic and therapeutic, being able to solve in some cases the cause.of infertility.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Wilson Laffita Labanino
Department, University & Country	Luanda Medical Center, Angola