

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_83762
Title of the Manuscript:	INFLUENCE OF INTRACANAL MEDICAMENT ON APICAL SEAL
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Dear Authors, first I would like to congratulate You on your work. In this manuscript the authors evaluated the effect of using Calcium hydroxide on apical seal. The work is methodologically correct, the sample is satisfactory However, I believe that the article could be improved. Please, take a note of some suggestions.</p> <p><u>Title:</u> The study used only one intracanal medicament so put its Scientific name in the title.</p> <p><u>Abstract:</u> Aim of the study: Try to be concise and set the target directly, if you want to put information it must serve the purpose and not an advantage of material used. Methodology End the first sentence by groups. And begin another one by Group A. Delete (and clearing process was carried out) then combine the sentence. Complete the last sentence. Results Avoid using (i.e) in results and conclusion. Revise the sentence its fragmented.</p> <p><u>Introduction:</u> (Also, it limits the space for bacterial multiplication and also stops substrates for their) growth repeated words. Rewrite the aim of the work</p> <p><u>Methodology:</u> What is the benefit of using Quasi Experimental study? There is another variable not dealt with as sealer type, but you don't give its name as if you write it in your conclusion. Write the statistical analysis done in the study in details you didn't mention in the methodology. Ethical approval should be mentioned as you use natural teeth.</p> <p><u>Discussion</u> Please do not repeat information from Introduction. Authors should simplify this section by clearly discussing their results. Rewrite this section using following paragraphs: main results and clinical relevance; comparison with other studies; advantages and disadvantages of the study; conclusions and suggestions for future studies. Try to use all references in the introduction, just few new references that serve results discussion could be added to the discussion.</p> <p><u>Conclusion</u> You mention (The type of sealer used may also effect the sealing ability of the root canal), your study don't mention anything about the sealer or its name in the methodology.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		

Review Form 1.6

Optional/General comments	Throughout the text there are notable phrases that need improvement in English and punctuation	
----------------------------------	--	--

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nahla Gamal Eldin Elhelbawy
Department, University & Country	Tanta University, Egypt