

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_83174
Title of the Manuscript:	Patterns and Determinants of Utilization of Antenatal Care Services in Tanta, Egypt
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>This descriptive study relates to antenatal care in selected districts in Tanta Egypt. Generally the study is well written except for several grammatical errors throughout the text. Attention to commas and correct sentence construction will help improve the quality of presentation.</p> <p>2. It is better to use the term 'pregnant women' rather than females..</p> <p>3. Abstract: The paragraph under Methods require attention to sentence construction and avoid repetition.</p> <p>4. Patient and Methods: This section requires greater detail. The background of the selected districts should be stated. Describe the sampling method that has been employed. Some of the information stated under Ethical consideration should be stated under methods.</p> <p>5. Kindly state how the questionnaire was administered to the patient. Details of the validation of the questionnaire needs clarification; looks like a pilot study was done. This should appear under methods. How long did it take to complete a questionnaire, was language an issue?</p> <p>6. Statistical methods: Satisfactory</p> <p>7. Results: Line 1: not clear what is meant by this sentence.</p> <p>8. Table: Table 2: Title should be sentence style; Check spelling of socio-demography, adequate etc. Table 3: Avoid 'female', pregnant women is a better term.</p> <p>9. Discussion; Well written</p> <p>Conflict of Interest: Sentence construction and grammar needs to be reviewed.</p> <p>10. References; Well written</p> <p>11. State any funding for this study</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	Grammar	
Optional/General comments	Kindly attend to the comments. Method: This section requires more details .	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sivalingam Nalliah
Department, University & Country	International Medical University, Malaysia