

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_82805
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparative Assessment of Iron Deficiency Anaemia among Chronic Kidney Disease Subjects in Niger Delta Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy> )

[Review Form 1.6](#)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p>	<p>Picture taken – not original from the study, if from books or any other resources please cite properly</p> <p>Abstract – on results presentation need to reorganize, use abbreviation</p> <p>Chronic Kidney Disease patients -CKDP</p> <p>Apparently Healthy Subjects _ APHS</p> <p>State the main difference no need to write all results in the abstract. What are the main findings???</p> <p>Eg – It is already known that the peripheral Blood Film showed microcytic hypochromic red cells among the CKD Subjects while normocytic normochromic red cells in the Apparently Healthy Subjects, SO ??? please answer back your objective of study which stated that focused on assessing hepcidin level in chronic kidney disease patients in relation to anaemia (iron deficiency anaemia). This is the findings that need to be highlighted in the abstract</p> <p>Introduction –</p> <p><b>The former if not managed properly will eventually result in iron deficiency anaemia(Jeremiah and Koate, 2010; Janzet al., 2013).It occurs in several disease conditions including Chronic Kidney Disease (CKD). – Please reconstruct the sentence and the ideas is hanging???</b></p> <p><b>This abnormally high hepcidin level causes reduction in the amount of iron in the circulation and as such iron deficiency, then iron deficiency anaemia – Please paraphrase back this sentence</b></p> <p>Method</p> <p><b>A total of ten milliliters (10ml) of venous blood was collected by venipuncture (vacutainer collection), into a plain sample container 5ml was added and the other 5ml was added into an EDTA bottle</b></p> <p>Paraphrase back example - Venipuncture (vacutainer collection) was used to collect a total of ten millilitres (10ml) of venous blood, five millilitres (5ml) for the plain tube and five millilitres (5ml) for the EDTA tube.</p> <p>Discussion</p> <p>Instead of <b>different</b> use in contrast</p> <p><b>Different</b> from this study Peters et al., (2010) recorded decreased Serum Hepcidin levels among dialyzed patients and Yasuhiro and Masafumi</p> <p><b>Paraphrase - showed a conflicting results on the cause of the elevated Hepcidin and concluded that the regulation of iron concentration is by many</b></p>	

**Review Form 1.6**

	<p><b>factors not only Hepcidin.</b></p> <p><b>Conclusion</b></p> <p><b>Anaemia is one common cause of death in chronic disease patients and this study has shown that iron deficiency anaemia is common among patients with chronic kidney disease and one of the contributing causes is increased hepcidin concentration. . Serum Hepcidin measurement should be included among the panel of tests to be carried out in the treatment and management of anaemia (Iron Deficiency Anaemia) in chronic kidney disease patients.</b></p> <p><b>Need to rewrite and conclude the main findings and highlight the recommendation</b></p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<p>To be honest, this study a very good study, but failed to be presented in proper English and grammar, most of the sentence and facts are hanging. The discussion was not strong.</p>	

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Evana Kamarudin</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University Technology MARA (UiTM), Malaysia</b>