

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_82398
Title of the Manuscript:	Clinicopathological features and survival outcomes of histopathological subtypes of colorectal adenocarcinoma in Ugandan patients
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy> )

**Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>It is not clear whether the researchers used the tissue blocks to confirm the diagnosis or they used the previous slides to collect the data. Please mention it clearly and write 'slides' instead of 'tissue blocks' to avoid confusion, throughout the article eg. In abstract, methodology, exclusion criteria, ethical declaration etc.</p> <p>It is a retrospective study not retroprospective right? Please correct.</p> <p>Do you want to disclose the name of the hospitals?</p> <p>Some of the statements are not clear:</p> <p><b>Page 4: Index date and closing date to follow up:</b> The inclusion dates were between 1 January 2008 and 31 December 2018 with a closing date on 31 December 2021. Not clear</p> <p><b>Page 8, Para 5, line 3:</b> This is in keeping to the findings in small reports [30,31]: please write clearly</p> <p><b>Page 9 para 2, L2: please delete the duplicate words:</b> Similar to findings from developed countries, MAC and SRCC showed a trend towards poorer survival in our study, similar to findings from developed countries.</p> <p><b>Page 9, Para 3, last sentence:</b> Not clear</p> <p><b>Page 9 last para:</b> I suggest to write 'explained' instead of 'understood': The aggressive nature of SRCC can be understood by understanding.....</p> <p><b>Page 10 para 2 line 3:</b> this sentence is not clear</p> <p><b>Page 10, para 3, last sentence:</b> it is not clear whether it is your finding or other researchers finding, please clarify and if other researchers finding then add the ref.</p> <p><b>Page 10 para 3, line 3:</b> 'high stage presentation': not clear</p> <p><b>In Limitations of study: Line 6:</b> the term 'catchment area' is not clear</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	Only few references are recent. Please replace some of the old references with recent one.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	The content of this article will add some valuable information about colorectal carcinoma in Uganda.	

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

**Review Form 1.6**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Jesmine Khan</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Universiti Teknologi MARA, Malaysia</b>